Wheat Variety Performance in Michigan

By R.D. Freed, E.H. Everson, L.O. Copeland, D.W. Fulbright, and J.L. Clayton¹

WHEAT VARIETY PERFORMANCE trials are conducted each year at nine locations throughout Michigan's winter wheat production area. Entries to the trials include Michigan State University experimental lines as well as promising lines from neighboring state experiment stations. Commercial varieties from private seed companies are also included. The primary objective of this program is to provide agronomic data needed for release of new varieties to the public. A second objective is to provide comparative performance data on wheat varieties for Michigan growers.

Recommended and Certified Varieties

Historically, new varieties released from Michigan State University and recommended in Michigan are automatically eligible for certification. Such varieties must demonstrate superior production qualities. Similarly, superior varieties from other states may become eligible for certification. As new varieties are released to the public, old ones with inferior qualities are eventually removed from recommended and certifiable lists.

Some varieties may become eligible for certification without being recommended to Michigan producers. Most varieties in this category are those for which there is a substantial out-of-state market (or sometimes instate) for Michigan produced seed. Such varieties are designated as "acceptable for certification." This designation also includes outstanding varieties with less than three years of performance data in Michigan or those whose performance is competitive, though not necessarily superior.

Cooperative Extension Service Michigan State University

Assistant Professor, Professor and Extension Specialist, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, and Extension Specialist and Senior Research Assistant, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology.

Varieties Available to Michigan

Table 1 (outside back cover) shows comparative information on most varieties of wheat available to Michigan growers regardless of their recommendation or certification. This information with the yield data reported in Tables 2 through 10, provides wheat growers with the essential information needed to select the best varieties.

Performance of Varieties

Table 2 shows comparative performance potential of different wheat varieties based on overstate yield trials conducted over several years. These comparisons are based on regression data from a large number of tests and provide a unique way of showing the yield potential of a variety in comparison with the average yield of all varieties at a given location. This system predicts how one variety will yield in comparison with other varieties at different expected yield levels. If the average expected yield at your farm is 75 bushels per acre, Augusta, Frankenmuth, and Hillsdale should produce 82 bushels/acre, whereas Yorkstar, Tecumseh, Ionia, Genesee, Abe, Arthur, S76 and S78 should produce 75, 70, 72, 70, 67, 67, 63 and 67 bushels per acre, respectively.

Tables 3 through 10 show the actual performance data of different varieties at eight locations from 1978 to 1983. Study the data from the test sites nearest your farm.

Production Tips

- 1. Prepare a smooth, weed-free seedbed free of quackgrass.
- 2. Have your soil tested by a research-oriented laboratory and apply the recommended amounts of both lime and fertilizer.
- 3. Select one or more high-performing wheat varieties on the basis of performance trials shown in this bulletin. Consider new varieties; however do not change varieties abruptly. Select one or two

new high-performing varieties and plant up to 25 percent of your acreage to each new variety until you determine how it fits your management scheme.

4. Seed treatment is very important in wheat to protect the germinating seed against soilborne organisms (seed rot and seedling blight fimgi). Chemical treatment is even more critical to protect against loose smut and bunt (stinking smut). Complete information about seed treatment is found in Extension Bulletin E-1199, "Seed Treatment for Field Crops" (free).

5. Plant seed approximately 10 days after the fly free date. Generally, planting late will produce higher yields. If you plant early, diseases can build up in the crop and cause yield reductions.

6. With the varieties Augusta, Frankenmuth and

Tecumseh, top-dress with 60 to 80 pounds of actual N in the spring while ground is still frozen or within three weeks after growth begins. Topdress other varieties with 40 to 60 pounds. If wheat is planted immediately after plowing down a medium stand of legume, nitrogen rates can be reduced to 40 to 60 pounds per acre. Soils with lower yield potential should receive less nitrogen than more productive soils.

7. Spray for broadleaf weeds when crop is fully tillered.

8. Harvest wheat when moisture reaches or drops below 14%. If the moisture forecast is for a wet harvest period, harvest wheat at 14-20% moisture and dry to prevent sprouting.

Table 2—Expected yield of a variety when the average yield level of all varieties in the test was 45, 55, 65, 75, 85, 95 or 105 Bu/Acre.

Variety	Number of	Environmental Productive Index (Bu/Acre) (Average Expected Yield at Your Location)									
	Tests	45	55	65	75	85	95	105			
Frankenmuth	50	48	58	69	82	90	101	111			
Augusta	50	48	58	69	82	90	101	111			
Yorkstar	50	45	55	65	75	85	95	106			
Tecumseh	50	42	51	60	70	79	88	97			
Ionia	50	43	53	63	72	82	91	101			
Genesee	50	42	51	60	70	79	88	97			
Hillsdale	30	48	58	69	82	90	101	111			
Abe	50	40	49	58	67	76	85	94			
Arthur	50	40	49	58	67	76	85	93			
Pioneer S-76	10	38	46	55	63	71	80	88			
Pioneer S-78	10	40	49	58	67	76	85	93			
Houser	10	47	57	68	78	88	99	109			
Arrow	10	40	49	58	67	76	85	93			

Table 3 — Ingham County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.

Variety	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	6-Year Average	Of Inde	
Augusta	70.3	86.1	70.4	89.3	46.4	71.8	72.4	109	
Frankenmuth	65.7	87.9	85.8	87.1	51.3	67.6	74.2	112	
Houser	57.2	84.8	56.2	83.4	41.4	69.2	65.4	99	
Fredrick	69.6	77.3	72.3	74.9	46.4	67.7	68.0	103	
Yorkstar	59.1	83.7	58.8	78.3	40.4	73.3	65.6	99	
Genesee	56.9	73.7	62.9	71.5	39.1	59.9	60.7	92	
Ionia	62.9	72.2	72.4	64.9	39.8	59.8	62.0	94	
Tecumseh	59.4	68.3	60.3	64.8	27.9	60.4	56.9	86	
Hillsdale	67.6	85.1	82.3	93.1	42.6	71.4	73.7	111	
Titan		83.1	65.3	75.0	31.8	74.5	65.9	99	
S76			62.5	77.7	32.0		57.4	87	
Arthur	57.5	60.7	63.3	68.8	34.7	59.6	57.4	87	
Abe	57.2	54.9	51.2	58.4	34.6	49.6	51.0	77	
Auburn				75.8	42.0	59.6	59.1	89	
Environmental Index (Average yield of									
30 varieties)	62.2	78.8	67.8	80.9	41.0	66.8	66.3		
LSD .05					5.7	6.5			

Table 4 — Ionia County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.

Variety	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	46.0	78.9	78.8	64.7	61.4	58.0	64.6	108
Frankenmuth	42.7	77.7	84.2	62.5	54.6	53.0	62.5	105
Houser	47.1	75.2	74.3	64.4	47.1	49.6	59.6	100
Fredrick	52.2	75.2	73.3	68.0	56.1	53.6	63.0	105
Yorkstar	32.4	70.0	70.0	65.3	51.4	56.9	57.7	96
Genesee	40.2	61.7	70.0	56.9	52.8	52.6	55.7	93
Ionia	47.4	69.8	78.2	57.2	42.0	51.8	57.7	96
Tecumseh	42.1	62.3	60.7	48.0	33.3	39.9	47.7	80
Hillsdale		82.5	80.6	70.9	57.8	49.7	68.3	115
Titan		81.4	75.6	69.6	48.9	48.9	55.2	92
S76			73.2	54.5	45.6		57.8	97
Arthur	41.3	48.9	67.1	55.0	42.6	34.5	48.2	81
Abe	44.3	57.2	66.3	52.8	39.6	29.3	48.3	81
Auburn				57.0		33.8	45.4	76
Environmental Index								
(Average yield of								
30 varieties)	42.6	73.7	76.0	63.0	52.0	51.4	59.8	
LSD .05					7.5	8.9		

Table 5 — Tuscola County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.

Variety	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	71.5	69.7	89.1	64.7	66.4	85.2	74.4	107
Frankenmuth	66.2	68.1	90.4	62.5	67.1	79.5	72.3	103
Houser	59.7	66.2	83.7	62.8	54.1	78.7	67.5	96
Fredrick	66.1	62.7	91.1	66.1	69.8	73.0	71.5	102
Yorkstar	57.8	62.4	83.1	54.2	53.6	79.5	65.1	93
Genesee	54.6	57.4	77.5	67.1	56.4	60.7	62.3	89
Ionia	59.6	60.6	85.3	62.1	53.9	71.8	65.6	94
Tecumseh	59.9	56.3	80.5	66.7	49.7	72.0	64.2	92
Hillsdale	68.1	68.5	86.9	83.6	60.0	84.6	72.3	103
Titan		62.4	84.9	52.1	63.1	80.8	68.7	98
S76			79.7	57.7	65.2		67.5	96
Arthur	60.1	47.6	86.7	61.9	56.0	70.4	63.8	91
Abe	56.8	55.6	88.9	60.2	55.0	63.1	63.8	91
Auburn				66.7		60.1	63.4	91
Environmental Index (Average yield of								
30 varieties)	60.8	64.6	87.6	67.7	60.0	78.4	70.0	
LSD .05					10.0	11.0		

Table 6 — Huron County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.*

Variety	1978	1979	1980	1981	1983	5-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	90.2	86.2	91.9	98.9	90.5	91.5	108
Frankenmuth	84.3	89.1	91.2	96.8	88.7	90.0	106
Houser		87.0	76.3	94.1	79.4	84.2	100
Fredrick	73.5	76.9	76.4	98.2	82.6	81.5	96
Yorkstar	76.8	83.4	71.2	88.3	89.5	81.8	97
Genesee	75.6	75.6	73.8	77.3	75.7	75.6	89
Ionia	75.4	79.2	77.7	71.4	84.9	77.7	92
Tecumseh	74.4	80.5	78.1	78.7	75.7	77.5	92
Hillsdale		87.1	94.1	99.7	88.3	92.3	109
Titan		84.8	86.7	94.2	84.1	87.5	103
S76	69.5		83.6	85.3		79.5	94
Arthur	72.7	68.7	70.5	81.9	71.8	73.1	86
Abe	80.4	65.7	67.9	81.1	72.0	69.3	82
Auburn				83.9	79.3	81.6	96
Environmental Index							
(Average yield of							
30 varieties)		82.6	80.1	90.6	84.9	84.6	
LSD .05					13.4		

^{*}Nursery was winterkilled in 1982.

Table 7 — Monroe County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1979-1982.

Variety	1979	1980	1981	1982	4-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	86.4	84.3	63.4	75.9	77.5	113
Frankenmuth	85.0	83.3	61.3	58.3	71.9	105
Houser	79.8	72.8	58.9	46.3	64.4	94
Fredrick	80.5	87.1	52.9	60.6	70.3	103
Yorkstar	68.8	72.6	47.0	37.5	56.5	83
Genesee	70.2	84.0	52.4	58.1	66.2	97
Ionia	68.8	88.7	57.1	66.7	70.3	103
Tecumseh	70.9	75.9	49.1	34.2	57.5	84
Hillsdale	87.0	94.6	55.3	63.2	75.0	110
Titan	65.5	90.1	56.3	43.4	63.8	93
S76		84.2	62.9	57.1	66.1	97
Arthur	72.6	86.0	67.2	55.8	70.4	103
Abe	65.6	82.6	53.4	53.9	63.9	93
Auburn			69.1		69.1	101
Environmental Index						
(Average yield of						
30 varieties)	75.4	82.9	60.8	54.7	68.4	
LSD .05				25.0		

Table 8 — Lenawee County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.

Variety	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
Augusta	58.7	67.8	76.6	49.9	77.8	64.2	65.8	114
Frankenmuth	61.1	63.6	73.5	56.0	63.7	56.0	62.3	108
Houser		57.3	73.1	56.9	58.3	60.0	61.1	106
Fredrick	53.5	53.6	68.4	50.4	60.3	59.6	57.6	100
Yorkstar	57.8	57.0	69.5	43.8	52.9	48.0	54.8	95
Genesee	52.9	58.2	66.7	49.3	57.0	52.7	56.1	97
Ionia	52.5	54.4	62.7	47.6	59.4	53.4	55.0	95
Tecumseh	49.6	47.1	68.3	40.3	41.1	52.0	49.7	86
Hillsdale		66.7	81.8	61.3	55.6	41.4	61.4	106
Titan		55.1	75.2	49.5	59.2	61.1	60.0	104
S76	41.9		69.1	50.8	52.0		53.5	93
Arthur	47.8	44.5	64.0	46.0	53.8	51.4	51.3	89
Abe	49.8	37.2	66.0	48.2	58.8	38.4	49.7	86
Auburn				55.8		52.4	54.1	94
Environmental Index								
(Average yield of								
30 varieties)	52.6	56.3	71.7	52.9	57.6	55.5	57.8	
LSD .05					15.8	12.8		

Table 9 — Kalamazoo County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.

Variety	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index	
Augusta	44.3	76.9	48.4	66.1	32.3	49.6	52.9	109	
Frankenmuth	37.8	68.9	54.6	64.0	24.6	53.0	50.5	104	
Houser	42.8	67.3	56.4	56.5	22.4	48.6	49.0	101	
Fredrick	47.9	67.3	56.4	63.3	25.8	49.4	51.7	107	
Yorkstar	39.4	69.2	47.3	59.2	22.4	52.1	48.3	100	
Genesee	38.0	59.8	48.0	49.8	26.6	49.4	45.3	94	
Ionia	41.3	55.3	59.7	56.0	25.9	51.2	48.2	100	
Tecumseh	38.8	52.0	42.9	51.4	22.4	39.7	41.2	85	
Hillsdale		69.5	61.4	63.4	29.2	52.3	55.2	114	
Titan		69.1	58.6	64.9	23.8	50.5	53.4	110	
S76			55.2	62.1	27.7		48.3	100	
Arthur	38.5	54.2	44.9	54.8	25.0	41.2	43.1	89	
Abe	42.8	47.4	53.1	50.0	21.3	38.9	42.3	87	
Auburn				60.1		43.0	51.6	105	Andrew A
Environmental Index (Average yield of									
30 varieties) LSD .05	38.9	65.6	52.7	59.6	25.0 6.6	48.8	48.4		

Table 10 — St. Joseph County soft winter wheat variety comparison (yield-Bu/Acre) trial, 1978-1983.

36.2 35.6 39.5 35.1	1979 55.1 54.4 56.0	1980 68.3 67.3	1981 65.5 63.4	1982 44.2 39.4	1983	6-Year Average	Percent Of Index
35.6 39.5 35.1	54.4 56.0	67.3					
39.5 35.1	56.0	67.3			61. 6		
35.1		FC 0		37.4	64.6	54.1	103
		56.0	62.9	31.6	59.1	50.9	97
	43.3	65.9	66.1	47.8	55.5	52.3	99
36.9	47.3	64.0	64.3	35.9	64.4	52.1	99
35.2	46.8	56.6	63.6		62.8	50.7	96
33.4	47.5	58.0	60.6	48.2	63.3	51.8	98
34.7	39.8	54.5	47.1	38.3	51.5	44.3	84
	58.5	64.7	75.5	46.0	65.5	62.0	118
	49.4	68.0	65.7	42.0	62.2	57.5	109
		61.9	55.5	37.9		51.8	96
29.6	45.4	49.6	53.2	36.6	51.9	44.4	84
29.4	45.6	56.1	44.7	44.5	54.6	45.8	87
			54.4		51.9	53.2	101
35.6	50.4	63.0	67.3	40.0	59.6	52.7	
	35.2 33.4 34.7 29.6 29.4	35.2 46.8 33.4 47.5 34.7 39.8 58.5 49.4 29.6 45.4 29.4 45.6	35.2 46.8 56.6 33.4 47.5 58.0 34.7 39.8 54.5 58.5 64.7 49.4 68.0 61.9 29.6 45.4 49.6 29.4 45.6 56.1 	35.2 46.8 56.6 63.6 33.4 47.5 58.0 60.6 34.7 39.8 54.5 47.1 58.5 64.7 75.5 49.4 68.0 65.7 61.9 55.5 29.6 45.4 49.6 53.2 29.4 45.6 56.1 44.7 54.4	35.2 46.8 56.6 63.6 39.4 33.4 47.5 58.0 60.6 48.2 34.7 39.8 54.5 47.1 38.3 58.5 64.7 75.5 46.0 49.4 68.0 65.7 42.0 61.9 55.5 37.9 29.6 45.4 49.6 53.2 36.6 29.4 45.6 56.1 44.7 44.5 54.4	35.2 46.8 56.6 63.6 39.4 62.8 33.4 47.5 58.0 60.6 48.2 63.3 34.7 39.8 54.5 47.1 38.3 51.5 58.5 64.7 75.5 46.0 65.5 49.4 68.0 65.7 42.0 62.2 61.9 55.5 37.9 29.6 45.4 49.6 53.2 36.6 51.9 29.4 45.6 56.1 44.7 44.5 54.6 54.4 51.9	35.2 46.8 56.6 63.6 39.4 62.8 50.7 33.4 47.5 58.0 60.6 48.2 63.3 51.8 34.7 39.8 54.5 47.1 38.3 51.5 44.3 58.5 64.7 75.5 46.0 65.5 62.0 49.4 68.0 65.7 42.0 62.2 57.5 61.9 55.5 37.9 51.8 29.6 45.4 49.6 53.2 36.6 51.9 44.4 29.4 45.6 56.1 44.7 44.5 54.6 45.8 54.4 51.9 53.2



MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution. Cooperative Extension Service programs are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, acts of May 8, and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Gordon E. Guyer, Director, Cooperative Extension Service, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, MI 48824.

This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by the Cooperative Extension Service or bias against those not mentioned. This bulletin becomes public property upon publication and may be reprinted verbatim as a separate or within another publication with credit to MSU. Reprinting cannot be used to endorse or advertise a commercial product or company.

Table 1-Wheat variety comparisons.