Agricultural iotechnology

What are the Issue:


There is considerable public discussion on agricultural biotechnology, and it is important for the public to become informed about the sues. What are the food safety issues, the environmental issues and the social issues? How is iotechnology different from more traditional methods? This brochure provides answers to ome of these questions.

What is biotechnology, and why is i eing used in our food supply?

gricultural biotechnology is really a collection of cientific techniques, including genetic engineering sed to improve plants, animals and microorgans. Throughout history societies have been oncerned with having a safe and abundant food upply. Our ancestors learned to improve their rops and livestock by breeding them to be hardinal provide more food. As a result, most of our rops and farm animals now look and taste differ at than they did centuries ago. Today, crops and vestock can be modified even more precisely trough biotechnology.

What is genetic engineering?


Il living things
- including the
uits, vegetables
nd meat that we
at — contain
enes that proide the instrucons that tell the
ells how to funcon. That inforation and



eneration through genes, which are made of a arge molecule called DNA, shaped much like a spal staircase or "double helix." Every living thing ontains DNA. Scientists do genetic engineering by utting and moving snippets of DNA from one plannimal or microbe to another in a process called ene splicing. Unlike traditional crossbreeding tech iques that simultaneously introduce many genes ncluding unwanted genes), genetic engineering ses just the gene for a specific desirable trait.

Vhat other products are genetically ngineered?

ne food industry has used genetically engineered acteria and yeasts for more than 20 years to roduce vitamins and nutritional supplements. otechnology also has produced medicines to treat number of human health problems, including thritis and heart disease. Virtually all insuling the to treat diabetes is now produced by biotechology. Genetic engineering is commonly used in a per, leather, metals, fuels and minerals.



Vhat are the effects of gricultural biotechnology n the environment?

gion and crop. They may include substantial ductions in traditional pesticide use and improved il conservation practices. University scientists are imparing many of the short- and long-term spacts of biotechnology and alternative technologs. For example, they are studying how non-pest sects and plants are affected and the potential for ests to become resistant to various methods of concepts. Likewise, university scientists are examining the potential for pollen from biotech crops moving to the crops, and are trying to determine what spact, if any, such pollen transfer might have.




re there potential risks associated with agricultural biotechnology?

s technology advances, it is important that scientist nd regulatory agencies assess the impacts of both ew and existing technologies for farmworker and onsumer safety and for any environmental effects n plants, animals and water systems. Some areas sk-assessment considered with our present biotechplogy crops include the potential for genes moving om genetically engineered crops into wild plants; ests eventually developing resistance to pest-resistnt crops; introducing allergy-causing compounds o nanging the nutritional composition in foods. These re the same types of concerns that should be evalu ed with traditional methods of producing our food nd fiber. Research conducted at land grant univers es, like those that produced this brochure, is critical this evaluation process.

Vhich foods might contain ngredients made from genetically ngineered plants?

you eat the same ods as most mericans, you robably are conming some foods om biotech crops. ecause genetically agineered corn, bybean and cotton are been so widely lanted by farmers,



pout 60 percent to 70 percent of all processed foods ow contain at least one ingredient from a genetically agineered plant. Some of these ingredients may contain the DNA or protein from the biotech crops, while ther common ingredients such as corn syrup, soyean oil and cottonseed oil are identical to ingredient om non-biotech crops.

the U.S. in 2002, it is estimated that more than 70 ercent of the soybean crop, over 30 percent of the orn crop and about 70 percent of the cotton crop will genetically engineered for pest control. In Canada ore than half of the canola is genetical-engineered to help in weed mangement. Biotech disease-resistant


apaya and squash so are availble. Biotech arieties of otato, toma-, rice, flax, agar beet, sweet

orn, melon and radicchio are approved for use in the S., but are not currently on the market.

low can consumers be sure nat biotech food products re safe to eat?

ne U.S. Food and Drug Adminstration (FDA), nvironmental Protection Agency (EPA), and epartment of Agriculture (USDA) have established gulations that govern the production and consumpon of foods produced through biotechnology. These gencies work with university scientists and other dividuals to develop the data to ensure these gulations are based on sound science. All available ridence to date shows that foods from biotech crops re as safe as foods from non-biotech crops. The U.S od supply is among the safest in the world, but that pesn't mean it is 100 percent safe. Nothing is. For cample, the U.S. government attempts to ensure the ghest possible level of food safety, but there still hav een outbreaks of illness due to contamination or oilage of our traditionally produced foods.

biotech foods were required to be labeled, the beling would not be based on nutritional quality of afety, but on the way those foods were produced. Hould the method of production require labeling? Conventionally produced agricultural products do not require labels describing how they were produced. If a product is certified as organic it may be beled as such for marketing purposes, but such a bel does not mean that the product is safer to eat that it was grown in a safer manner. It is estimated that foods certified to be biotech-free would cost one because the product would have to be tracked on the field to the market. And it would be far one complex to certify processed foods, which morntain dozens of ingredients. Each of those ingred



ents would have to be traced to confir that it did not come from a biotech crop. It is unclear how biotech products would be set apart in a complex food system and who would pay for the additional costs

te fundamental question is whether labeling would blp consumers make an informed choice about the afety or nutritional value of their foods.

Vhat if I don't want to eat foods nade with biotech ingredients?

u have that option. You can purchase food prodts that meet certified organic standards. These oducts don't allow the use of genetically engiered foods or processing aids. In addition, the A is considering voluntary labeling standards to sist manufacturers who choose to label their food being free of biotech ingredients. These standard buld be designed to make sure the labels were uthful and not misleading. The FDA views the term lerived through biotechnology" and "bioengiered" as acceptable, whereas it does not accept e terms "GM free," "GMO," or "modified" for beling. These standards are being developed so nsumers can have the option to purchase nonotech foods, not because biotech foods are unsafe any less healthy.

How long has genetic engineering een used in agriculture and food roduction?

he first food products of biotechnology — an name and a yeast use


on the market in 199
Since 1995, farmers
in the United States
have been growing
crops that are genet
cally engineered.
You'll sometimes hea
these referred to as
biotech crops or GMC
(genetically modified
organisms). In 2001, an
estimated 5.5 million farme

rew biotech crops on 130 million acres in about 5 countries, led by the U.S., Canada and rgentina. Virtually all of the biotech crops on the narket today were developed to reduce crop damge by weeds, diseases and insects.

What are the goals and potential enefits of agricultural biotechnology

cientists who use genetic engineering techniques food production have the same goal as traditional reeders — making our food supply safer for con-

umers and the environent and less expensive to roduce. Adding a new ene to a crop plant may enefit growers and conumers. This technique is eing used to produce rops that are less vulnerale to insects, diseases and reeds. In the future, sciensts hope to develop crops nat can be used to create ew materials or energy ources, provide more utrients, treat diseases or erve as vaccines to preent diseases.






olic involvement

uction of a safe and sufficient food supply, grown nvironmentally responsible fashion, is essential for anity. Like any technology, agricultural biotechnology ave economic and social impacts in the U.S. and parts of the world. Agricultural biotechnology is one thread in the complex tapestry associated with ernization and other aspects of an increasingly in ected world. As biotechnology continues to evolve all and open public discourse is vital in order to the role it will play in society.



What about dairy and meat roducts?


lo genetically engineered sh, cows, pigs, sheep, nickens or other food nimals are on the market s of the publication of his brochure. However, vestock routinely eat feed hade from biotech crops.



lore than 70 percent of the cheese on the U.S. tarket is made with a genetically engineered nzyme, replacing an animal-derived enzyme. In a milk is commonly obtained from cows treated with a biotech version of a naturally occurring how one called bovine somatotropin (bST), which is sed to increase milk production.

Vhy aren't biotech foods labeled?

the U.S., food labels reflect composition and afety, not the way the food is produced. Presently lotech foods do not require labeling because they are been judged to have the same nutritional ontent as similar non-biotech foods and no nanges in allergens or other harmful substances. Inditionally, some ingredients, such as oils derived om botech crops, are identical to those from non-liotech crops. Future biotech products are expected have improved nutritional value, and will be abeled to that effect.




This brochure is brought to you by a group of U.S. agricultural schools, known as state r land grant colleges and universities. Our goal is make information on agricultural biotechnology available to the public and to participate in the dialogue about the benefits and risks of this new technology, which fast is becoming a part of our everyday lives.

As teaching, research and extension nstitutions, we are convinced that some products developed through biotechnology can provide penefits to our food system and the environment. The urthermore, we believe that the risks and benefit of any technology, including biotechnology, should be evaluated through research.

Agricultural Biotechnology Communicators

© ABC 2002









or additional copies of this brochure, request E-2899 "Agricultural Biotechnology" from the MSU Bulletin Office, 117 Central Services Building, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1001; email: bulletin@msue.msu.edu; phone: (517) 355-0240.

or more information about agricultural biotechnology contact: Dr. Kirk L. Heinze, Communication and Technology Services; email: anrcom@msue.msu.edu.

MSU is an affirmative-action, equal-opportunity institution.