Table A-1. Original capital investment per head capacity by housing technology and feedlot capacity without imposing water pollution control rules (a)

Housing	Feedlot capacity (head)					
technology	100	500	900			
Drylot paved	\$336.50	\$255.23	\$253.78			
Drylot unpaved	\$320.57	\$238.74	\$237.23			
Open lot	\$268.91	\$186.00	\$184.37			
Cold confinement, solid floor	\$346.72	\$265.99	\$264.58			
Cold confinement, slotted floor	\$436.83	\$334.17	\$328.15			

<sup>(</sup>a) The investments in Tables A-1 and A-2 are on a per-head basis for a one-time feed-lot capacity. To find the amount of capital investment per head of beef sold, the following calculation would be made:

Investment per head sold = Investment per head capacity

Turnover rate × life of investment

For drylot paved and unpaved facilities, the turnover rate is approximately 1.22 for the ration used in this study. For open lot facilities it is approximately 1.10, and for the confined facilities the turnover rate is approximately 1.25.

Investment per head capacity includes the total capital outlay for feed storage facilities, lot and buildings, the feed handling system, and waste disposal equipment and facilities.

Table A-2. Additional capital investment per head capacity required to comply with alternative water pollution control rules by housing technology and feedlot capacity, 1974 prices (a)

Water pollution control rule and housing	Feedlot capacity (head)				
technology	100	500	900		
Rule: control runoff from a 10- year, 24-hour rainfall event  To be a second of the control					
Technology: a. Drylot paved b. Drylot unpaved c. Open lot	\$26.56 \$32.33 \$34.72	\$ 6.86 \$12.17 \$14.42	\$ 4.55 \$ 9.76 \$11.98		
d. Cold confinement, solid floor (b) e. Cold confinement, slotted floor (b)	_	— —			
2. Rule: control runoff from a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event					
Technology:  a. Drylot paved  b. Drylot unpaved  c. Open lot  d. Cold confinement,  solid floor(b)	\$26.73 \$32.88 \$35.43	\$ 6.99 \$12.64 \$15.04	\$ 4.67 \$10.21 \$12.58		
e. Cold confinement, slotted floor (b)	_	_	_		
(Continue	d)				

Table A-2. (Continued)

Water pollution control rule and housing	Fee	Feedlot Capacity (head)					
technology	100	500	900				
3. Rule: control runoff from a 6- month rainfall event							
Technology:  a. Drylot paved  b. Drylot unpaved  c. Open lot  d. Cold confinement,	\$28.20 \$38.01 \$42.07	\$ 8.17 \$17.15 \$20.96	\$ 5.78 \$14.60 \$18.35				
4. Rule: no winter spreading of wastes							
Technology: a. Drylot paved b. Drylot unpaved c. Open lot d. Cold confinement, solid floor e. Cold confinement,	\$ .96 \$ .78 \$ .68 \$ 2.27 \$ 6.81	\$ 3.45 \$ 3.27 \$ .68 \$ 4.76 \$ 6.13	\$ 2.34 \$ 2.17 \$ 2.06 \$ 3.44				
stotted floor	φ 0.01	φ 0.15	φ 4.00				

<sup>(</sup>a) Additional capital investments include additional capital outlays for buildings and equipment needed to comply with a rule.

Table A-3. Average equity per firm for a simulated sample of Michigan feedlots over the 1974-1985 period under four alternative water pollution control rules (a)

Year		Average Equity Under						
	Rule A	Rule B	Rule C	Rule D				
1974	\$219,889	\$219,889	\$219.889	\$219,889				
1975	\$245,904	\$245,904	\$245,904	\$245,904				
1976	\$275,773	\$275,764	\$275,674	\$275,569				
1977	\$308,978	\$308,947	\$308,173	\$307,925				
1978	\$347,236	\$347,180	\$346,603	\$346,204				
1979	\$389,104	\$389,023	\$387,965	\$387,395				
1980	\$436,592	\$436,478	\$435,377	\$434,615				
1981	\$488,872	\$488,731	\$487,412	\$486,450				
1982	\$546,899	\$546,728	\$545,097	\$543,913				
1983	\$610,307	\$610,129	\$608,750	\$607,345				
1984	\$678,971	\$678,784	\$677,240	\$675,558				
1985	\$752,931	\$752,736	\$751,544	\$749,626				

<sup>(</sup>a) These equity levels are used to compute the "equity loss" incurred by the simulated firms under the alternative water pollution control rules. The "equity loss" refers to the difference between the present value of annual equity changes when no rule is imposed and the present value of annual equity changes under particular water pollution control rule.

<sup>(</sup>b) These housing types are not affected by this particular water pollution control rule.

Table A-4. Examples of initial investment costs for two housing systems using the runoff retention system used in simulation model with the capacity to retain a 6-month rainfall

	Dı	Drylot, unpaved housing system					
	fee	100 head feedlot capacity		500 head feedlot capacity		900 head feedlot capacity	
Diversion terrace	\$	140	\$	700	\$1	,260	
Settling basin	\$	34	\$	172	\$	310	
Holding pond and lining	\$	569	\$2	,578	\$4	,540	
Cost of fence	\$	147	\$	328	\$	441	
Cost of pump	\$2	2,145	\$2	,219	\$2	,219	
Total	\$3	3,035	\$5	,997	\$8	,770	
	Ι	Drylot, paved housing system					
	fee	head edlot pacity	fee	head edlot pacity	fee	head edlot acity	
Diversion terrace	\$	33	\$	163	\$	294	
Settling basin	\$	10	\$	41	\$	72	
Holding pond and lining	\$	157	\$	656	\$1	,132	
Cost of fence	\$	71	\$	158	\$	213	
Cost of pump	\$2	2,145	\$2	,219	\$2	,219	
		2,416		,237		,930	

Table A-5. Annual costs and costs per pound of beef sold for three feedlot technologies, 500-head capacity

Feeder calves	Drylot, unpaved no runoff abatement		Drylot, unpaved runoff abatement for 25-year, 24-hour storm		Cold confinement solid floor	
		\$128,662		\$128,662		\$131,736
Nondurable inputs:						
Fertilizer and						
herbicides	\$12,126		\$12,126		\$12,126	
Supplement	\$ 9,896		\$ 9,896		\$ 9,896	
Seed	\$ 3,421		\$ 3,421		\$ 3,421	
Fuel	\$ 1,005		\$ 1,005		\$ 829	
Labor	\$10,855		\$10,855		\$11,239	
Repair	\$ 3,079		\$ 3,079		\$ 3,194	
Insurance	\$ 277		\$ 289		\$ 309	
Property tax	\$ 5,370		\$ 5,431		\$ 5,512	
Interest on short						
term loan	\$17,144		\$17,147		\$17,533	
Runoff abatement	\$ 0		\$ 452		\$ 0	
Total		\$ 63,173		\$ 63,701		\$ 64,059
Durable inputs:						
Silo	0 0 500		0 0 500		0.000	
Moist corn storage	\$ 3,528 \$ 1,895		\$ 3,528 \$ 1,895		\$ 3,528 \$ 1,895	
Lot and buildings	\$ 2,556		\$ 2,556		\$ 3,469	
Transport	\$ 1,363		\$ 1,363		\$ 1,549	
Runoff abatement	\$ 1,303		\$ 407		\$ 1,349	
Crop machinery	\$ 4,369		\$ 4.369		\$ 4,369	
Total	φ 4,505	\$ 13,713	φ 4,505	\$ 14,119	φ 4,000	\$ 14,813
Opportunity costs of land and						
durables		\$ 34,128		\$ 34,160		\$ 34,215
Total annual cost		\$239,676		\$240,643		\$244,82
Cost per pound						
sold		\$ 0.375		\$ 0.377		\$ 0.374