

MSU Extension Publication Archive

Archive copy of publication, do not use for current recommendations. Up-to-date information about many topics can be obtained from your local Extension office.


City and Village Zoning: A Basic Guide For Citizens And Local Officials
Michigan State University Extension Service
Mark Wyckoff, editor; Ronald Lee, West Michigan Regional Planning Commission
Issued July 1983
35 pages

The PDF file was provided courtesy of the Michigan State University Library

Scroll down to view the publication.

CITY & VILLAGE ZONING: A BASIC GUIDE FOR CITIZENS AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

Extension Bulletin E-1740, July 1983, FILE 28.228 or 28.229
COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE • MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY



This publication is modelled after **Administering Township Zoning: A Basic Guide for Citizens and Local Officials** September 1979, originally published by the Division of Land Resource Programs, DNR and the West Michigan Regional Planning Commission in Grand Rapids. It was republished and is now available from the MSU Cooperative Extension Bulletin Office. It was edited and supplemented by Mark Wyckoff from a text originally prepared by Ronald Lee, then of the West Michigan Regional Planning Commission.

City and Village Zoning: A Basic Guide for Citizens and Local Officials was prepared by Tom Smith and Mark Wyckoff, Executive Director of the Michigan Society of Planning officials. It is published by the MSU Cooperative Extension Service as a joint publication with the Michigan Society of Planning Officials, P.O. Box 18187, Lansing, MI 48901.

CITY & VILLAGE ZONING: A BASIC GUIDE FOR CITIZENS AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

EXTENSION BULLETIN E-1740

JULY 1983

\$1.40

Prepared by the:

Michigan Society of Planning Officials

Published and distributed by:

**Cooperative Extension Service of
Michigan State University**

Additional copies available from:

MSU Bulletin Office

P.O. Box 231

Michigan State University

East Lansing, MI 48823-0231

DEDICATION

This guide is dedicated to the countless citizens and local officials of Michigan's cities and villages who constructively seek to understand and apply zoning to implement local land resource management plans. May this guide further enhance your abilities to effectively manage the development of your communities.

MICHIGAN ENABLING STATUTES REFERRED TO IN THIS GUIDE

<u>Statute Name</u>	<u>Act Number</u>	<u>Michigan Compiled Law Number</u>
City-Village Zoning Act	Public Act 207 of 1921, as amended thru 1982	MCLA 125.581-125.592
Municipal Planning Act	Public Act 285 of 1931, as amended thru 1982	MCLA 125.31-125.45

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	i
CHAPTER I ZONING AS A COMMUNITY LAND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT TOOL . .	1
Zoning is a Tool.	1
Defining Zoning	1
Zoning is Constitutional.	2
<i>Commentaries</i>	
- What is Zoning	2
- What Zoning Can Do	2
- How Can Zoning Do This	2
- Relationship To Other Land Regulations	3
- Effective Zoning	3
- What Zoning Is Not Or Should Not Be.	3
- Exclusionary Zoning Prohibited	3
CHAPTER II WHO DOES WHAT IN CITY OR VILLAGE ZONING.	5
Three Basic Zoning Functions.	5
Chart One: Principal Zoning Functions	6
The Public Officials Who Carry Out Zoning Functions	5
<i>Legislative Body</i>	
City or Village Council.	5
<i>Administrative Bodies and Officials</i>	
Zoning Administrator	7
Planning Commission.	8
Zoning Commission.	9
<i>Quasi-Judicial Body</i>	
Board of Appeals	10
CHAPTER III GENERAL ZONING PROCEDURES	11
Introduction.	11
<i>Legislative Zoning Procedures</i>	
Zoning Amendments.	11
When An Amendment is Necessary	11
People Involved.	11
General Procedural Steps	11
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Zoning Amendments.	12
<i>Administrative Zoning Procedures</i>	
Zoning Permits	14
Zoning Permit as a Separate Permit	14
Building Permit With Zoning Compliance Checkoff.	14
When Zoning Approval is Necessary.	14
People Involved.	14
General Procedural Steps	14
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Zoning Permit Reviews.	15

	<u>Page</u>
Certificates of Occupancy.	15
When a Certificate of Occupancy is Necessary	15
People Involved.	15
General Procedural Steps	15
Site Plans	16
When Zoning Approval is Necessary.	16
People Involved.	16
General Procedural Steps	16
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Site Plan Reviews.	16
Zoning Violations.	17
When Necessary	17
People Involved.	17
General Procedural Steps (2 alternatives).	17
Special Land Uses.	18
When a Special Land Use Permit is Necessary.	19
People Involved.	19
General Procedural Steps	19
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Special Land Uses	20
Planned Unit Developments (PUD's).	20
What is a Planned Unit Development	20
Ways to Provide for a PUD in a City or Village Zoning Ordinance.	21
When PUD Approval is Necessary	21
People Involved.	21
General Procedural Steps	21
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on PUD's	22
<i>Quasi-Judicial Zoning Procedures</i>	
Appeals-Ordinance Interpretation-Variances	22
When Action by the Board of Appeals is Necessary	22
People Involved.	22
General Procedural Steps	22
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Dimensional Variances	23
Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Use Variances	24
Public Hearings.	25
General Hearing Procedure.	25
Hearing Records.	26
<i>Commentaries</i>	
- Beware of These Zoning Excuses	13
- Ten Common Zoning Problems	26
- Before Making Zoning Decisions	27
- Decision Making Reminders.	27
CHAPTER IV THE END (OR IS IT THE BEGINNING?).	29
<i>Commentary</i>	
- The Need For Periodic Assessment	29

INTRODUCTION

It is very easy for people who encounter zoning for the first time, to get confused by the unique terms and procedures involved. This is true for both new city or village officials and for property owners who become involved in zoning processes regarding the use of land. If you are a recently appointed member of a zoning commission, planning commission or zoning board of appeals or are a citizen with concern about zoning, this guide is designed to help you. This report identifies zoning as one "tool" for carrying out a community's comprehensive plan. It also identifies "who does what" in city and village zoning matters and "how they do it".

This guide discusses the basic responsibilities of each body or official exercising a common zoning task as well as the basic procedures associated with these tasks. It is not a zoning ordinance nor a substitute for one. It is limited to questions that commonly arise in the administration of city or village zoning and does not address other related matters or procedures such as those involved in city or village planning programs, capital improvement projects or subdivision regulation. *This guide assumes that your municipal zoning ordinance is consistent with the City-Village Zoning Act, P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended thru 1979 (MCLA 125.581-125.590). A city or village charter may impose additional requirements. It assumes your ordinance has been properly adopted and is consistent with the plan and studies used to develop the ordinance.* Consequently, this guide is not designed to resolve problems associated with antiquated zoning regulations, poorly drafted provisions, or an improperly adopted zoning ordinance. It can, however, help quickly orient you to city or village zoning and if you are a city or village official, to aid you in getting a firm grasp on how the land resource management tool, known as zoning, is designed to work.

If you find after reading this guide that there are procedures, roles or responsibilities described within that differ from those contained in your city or village zoning ordinance, or which differ with the way zoning is practiced within your community, then you should bring the inconsistency to the attention of the planning commission, or city or village legislative body for their study. It may be necessary to seek technical assistance from qualified planners and legal counsel in preparing any proposed changes to the ordinance that are necessary to insure it remains in conformance with state law.

* * * * *



Note:

- 1) Most Michigan cities or villages with a city or village zoning ordinance have a planning commission with primary zoning responsibilities. This guide assumes that if there is a city or village planning commission, it has been established under the authority of P.A. 285 of 1931, as amended. If a municipality has a planning commission, that body by virtue of Section 4(2) of the City-Village Zoning Act must carry out certain zoning responsibilities. If your city or village has a zoning commission or itself is acting as one and no planning commission exists in the municipality, then the references to the planning commission in this guide should be interpreted as the zoning commission established under the authority of Public Act 207 of 1921, as amended, the City-Village Zoning Act.
- 2) In many Michigan cities and villages, the legislative body is referred to as the city council, village board of trustees, the common council, the board of alderman and/or other appropriate names. For the sake of simplicity, this guide refers to the city or village legislative body (i.e. the elected governing body) as the city or village council.

CHAPTER I

ZONING AS A COMMUNITY LAND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT TOOL

Zoning is a Tool

Zoning is a tool useful in shaping and forming community growth and redevelopment in a manner consistent with a plan. Tools are simply "instruments" for use in accomplishing certain objectives, tasks or plans. The key in many respects to successful use of zoning as a land resource management tool is understanding what it is designed and able to do, how it works and how to keep it in operating order.

Every woodworker knows that in addition to skillful use of tools, careful planning can prevent mistakes and save time and materials. Typically, with a wood-working project a scale drawing is made before any cutting, boring, fastening or furnishing is done. The same process should be applied to zoning. Plans laying out community's goals and objectives, how and where services and facilities are to be provided, and its projections regarding future growth must be developed and revised as necessary to guide community development. Zoning regulations should be drafted and revised in a manner reflective of the specifications and intentions of community plans. When this is done, the zoning ordinance can become one of the most important legal instruments or tools for influencing and shaping community growth and redevelopment.

Defining Zoning

Zoning is an important tool used to bring about orderly development of communities. It works by regulating various aspects of how land may be used. Its name derives from the division of land within the community into zones (also called districts) each of which permits certain uses of land according to specific standards. There are a number of objectives to be achieved through zoning. These include:

- conserving and protecting property values by preventing incompatible land uses from locating in a given area;
- facilitating adequate and economical provision of public improvements;
- providing for orderly community development;
- insuring the needs of the state's citizens for food, fiber, energy and other natural resources are met;
- limiting overcrowding of land and congestion of population, transportation systems and other public facilities; and
- when necessary, developing special programs to achieve specific land management objectives and avert or solve specific land use problems.

Zoning principally achieves these objectives by controlling land uses, density, building height and bulk, lot sizes, yards and open spaces, setbacks, and accessory uses. Different regulations may be

established to carry out specific purposes stated for each zone, although within each zone land uses are treated similarly.

A zoning ordinance consists of two distinct parts--the district map and a written text. The text sets out the purposes, uses and district regulations for each district, the standards for special land uses and for administration of the ordinance. Zoning has a direct effect on the health, safety and general welfare of the community by influencing traffic and parking, congestion, slum prevention, sewers, water supply, schools, parks, playgrounds, fire prevention, community revenues and expenditures, and, of course, property values. The zoning map graphically illustrates into which zones or districts all of the land within the community is classified. Each mapped district relates back to the regulations applicable to that zone within the text of the zoning ordinance. The zoning map usually indicates various residential, commercial, industrial, institutional and special district zones.

Zoning typically seeks to achieve its objectives by grouping compatible uses within a district and thereby separating incompatible uses. Uses of land are typically incompatible if the characteristics of the use of the land may create negative consequences on adjacent uses. This may occur if residential uses adjoin land uses with large amounts of noise, dust, odors, traffic, or smoke. Together, community plans and zoning should establish an orderly land use pattern related to transportation facilities, utilities, other public facilities and services as well as to both the natural suitability of the land for the intended purposes and adjacent uses of land. An appropriate balance of various land uses within the community is also typically sought. Likewise, grouping land uses with similar needs or direct relationships one to the other, helps maximize efficiency and minimize friction, while protecting land values, amenities and reducing public service costs. For example, schools and parks may be good neighbors for residential areas; while intensive commercial or industrial developments may not be.

Zoning regulations do constrain the uses to which a property owner may put land and the arrangement of those uses on a single parcel. However, at the same time, zoning protects each property owner from the uncontrolled actions of others. Without zoning, uses of land such as junkyards and asphalt batching plants could be developed adjacent to nearly anyone's home. While these land uses provide valuable services to the community, their appropriate location is not adjacent to residential areas. Yet, zoning is not merely a "negative" act--aimed at keeping certain land uses out of a community--but also is a "positive" one--creating desirable and harmonious places for people to live, work and play. It is based upon local goals and helps insure the future embodied in community plans is built, piece by piece. Thus, zoning controls are a means to an end and not an end in themselves.

A city or village is authorized to adopt zoning by state statute. The City-Village Zoning Act, Public Act 207 of 1921, as amended, permits, but does not require a city or village to zone. Under the Act, zoning may promote the public health, safety and general welfare through reasonable regulations which are adopted and implemented in accord with the statute. These regulations are adopted in a city or village zoning ordinance. Zoning is not retroactive and does not prevent use of lands which do not conform with the regulations of the district in which they are located. Zoning must provide for reasonable use of land and cannot be arbitrary or confiscatory. The ordinance must be adopted in accordance with the procedure stated in the City or Village Zoning Act and after adoption must be administered consistent with statute procedures.

Once enacted, a zoning ordinance is not static. Changes can be obtained in several ways. An amendment to the text of the ordinance or a rezoning of the map can be adopted by the city or village council (legislative body). If the ordinance so provides, permission to establish a special land use may be obtained after a review of a proposed site plan by the planning commission to insure conformance to the standards stated in the ordinance. Appeal of an administrator's decision or a request for a variance due to a practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship can be sought from the board of appeals. These various actions can be confusing. Which is the appropriate action in a particular situation? This and other questions will be addressed in the following sections of this guide.

Zoning is Constitutional

Zoning has been the subject of a great volume of litigation, but courts long ago upheld the constitutionality of the valid exercise of zoning in a community. This includes both the U.S. Supreme Court in 1926 in the case of Euclid v Ambler Realty Co. 272 US 365 and the Michigan Supreme Court in 1928 in the case of Dawley v Ingham Circuit Judge 242 Mich 247. In the celebrated case of Padover v Township of Farmington 374 Mich 622 (1965) two Justices of the Michigan Supreme Court had the following to say about zoning:

Surely the function of zoning is to plan a modern day community for continuance and growth over a period of years. The growth cannot possibly come at once any more than a seed can spring into a tree overnight. Unless the pattern is set and followed, proper growth can never materialize. The alternative is to pay the price in crime, juvenile delinquency, inadequate sewers, inadequate roads, inadequate schools, inadequate parks, and worst of all, inadequate human beings-- a pattern that has been all too clearly evident upon the American scene. A city, village, or township is entitled to work out a better destiny for itself under such clear statutory authority.

Zoning is just one function of local government. It should not be an isolated function. It should be coordinated with plans and related development regulations. It should be done properly. Individual property owners have good reason to expect responsible zoning administration and coordinated development review procedures. City and village officials have a responsibility to fulfill this expectation. Hopefully, this publication will help prevent misinformation and lack of information from erecting unnecessary barriers to effective zoning in your community.

WHAT IS ZONING?

- Zoning is a means of avoiding land use conflicts between one neighbor and another.
- Zoning is a tool used to insure that new uses and structures will have characteristics generally compatible with others in the area in which they are located. It is a means for promoting the welfare of the community by guiding its growth along orderly lines.
- Zoning is a method of implementing official community development policies.
- Zoning is a legal, enforceable document which is prepared by a planning or zoning commission and adopted by the legislative body (the city or village council).

WHAT ZONING CAN DO

The rising costs of public services, the impact of large scale commercial and industrial development, the problem of redevelopment, and the loss or potential losses in property values due to land use changes are common concerns in many Michigan cities and villages. Even normal growth can cause problems if it occurs without public watchfulness. Community planning and land resource management are essential to insure orderly community development.

Zoning Can:

- Help stabilize and preserve property values by preventing undesirable land uses and controlling land speculation;
- Prevent adverse social and economic impacts of new development from impacting existing developments;
- Control development densities so that areas considered appropriate for development or a change in density can be economically serviced when necessary with roads, sewer, water, electricity, fire and police protection, schools and recreation;
- Stabilize and protect existing neighborhoods and developed areas from losing their identity or character;
- help conserve energy with compact land use patterns; and
- help promote appropriate redevelopment in transitional areas of the community.

HOW CAN ZONING DO THIS?

A zoning ordinance is a legal document which prescribes and controls the. . .

- Use of buildings, land and natural resources for trade, industry, residence and conservation;
- Location, height and bulk (yards and setbacks) of uses, buildings and other structures;
- Area or size of a lot (and that portion of the lot which may be occupied or left for open space); and the
- Density of population and intensity of development.

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAND REGULATIONS

A zoning ordinance is not a . . .

- Building Code. Zoning is not meant to be the main device to control the internal materials and manner of constructing a building.
- Land Subdivision Control Ordinance. Zoning does not directly regulate the individual design and lay out of land division into lots, streets, etc.
- Health Code. Zoning cannot directly regulate the design and layout of sanitary sewer or septic systems other than to require locational standards and compliance with local health codes.

Zoning regulations should be coordinated with building, health, subdivision and other local land regulations. This helps insure that public policy is consistently implemented and prevents unnecessary delays and additional development costs which a land developer can easily incur from uncoordinated development review procedures.

EFFECTIVE ZONING

Zoning can be successful if:

- it is based on a sound understanding of the community's needs and reflects the citizen's desires and concepts of what the community should be;
- competent legal and other technical assistance is sought as needed;
- it is based on a properly structured and enacted zoning ordinance;
- it is consistently, fairly and impartially administered and enforced;
- it is administered in strict compliance with procedures required by statute and all procedures specified in the municipal zoning ordinance;
- it is periodically reviewed and updated;
- it is understood and supported by the public; and
- it is an integral part of the mechanisms adopted by the community to implement community plans and growth management programs.

WHAT ZONING IS NOT OR SHOULD NOT BE

Zoning is not a medium for cure of all urban and rural ills; it is not intended to lend public support to economic, racial and religious sanctions; it is not an enforcer of private deed restrictions; nor is it a device for intervention in neighborhood feuds or personal quarrels. It does not guarantee an Eden untouched by machines, wandering dogs, or other noisy participants of the urban scene.

Zoning is not a magic potion to stir in with the tax base for cure of past fiscal mistakes. It is not designed to suppress individuality, or to increase tax revenues by making construction of housing as expensive as possible. It should not be used as a device for enforcing narrowly parochial views on style, color, texture, or shape.

Zoning does not assure development. It merely permits it. Thus, excessive commercial zoning does not mean that commercial development will take place. It merely removes the land from categories from which it might more logically be used.

Zoning is not a means for artificially reducing the value of land so that it can be acquired for public use at reduced price.

Zoning is not a weapon to be used in the political arena to assist supporters or punish opponents. Neither is it a scale on which the thumb rests in favor of friends and to the detriment of strangers.

Zoning is not a popularity contest. Where well-considered planning requires an action, it should be taken even in the face of anguished outcries at public hearings. Head counts don't help; reasonable debate does. In such cases, the answer should be conversion where possible. It should never be capitulation.

Adapted From: "ZONING: A Special Report to Appointed Local Planning and Zoning Officials" by the Florida Planning and Zoning Association, Inc., Tallahassee, Florida.

EXCLUSIONARY ZONING PROHIBITED

The City-Village Zoning Act provides that:

A zoning ordinance or zoning decision shall not have the effect of totally prohibiting the establishment of a land use within a city or village in the presence of a demonstrated need for that land use within either the city or village or surrounding area within the state, unless a location within the city or village does not exist where the use may be appropriately located, or the use is unlawful (Sec. 12, P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended).

NOTES:

CHAPTER II

WHO DOES WHAT IN CITY OR VILLAGE ZONING

Three Basic Zoning Functions

There are three basic functions inherent in the operation of every zoning ordinance. They may be labelled legislative, administrative and quasi-judicial. These terms reflect the basic nature or characteristics of each zoning function as it should be exercised. Different bodies and officials carry out these responsibilities and it is important to understand "who does what". Unfortunately, one of the most common local zoning mistakes is to have the wrong body take action on a matter which properly is in the realm of another body. When this happens, the legal status of that decision is in jeopardy if challenged in court. Public confidence in the local zoning program may also be eroded.

The *legislative* function of zoning includes adoption of the original zoning ordinance as well as later adoption of any amendments to the text or zoning map. These actions can only be taken by the elected city or village council. The legislative body is the policy making body and acts after receiving the recommendation of the planning commission. Only the city or village council can legally adopt a zoning ordinance and amendments to it.

The *administrative* function of zoning relates to the day-to-day activities involving administration of the provisions and requirements of the zoning ordinance. The zoning administrator (often the building inspector) and planning/zoning commission frequently share in the administrative tasks. The administrative function is the main zoning function with which property owners get involved when seeking zoning approval.

Lastly, the *quasi-judicial* function refers to appeals processes regarding the interpretation and variation of individual provisions of a local zoning ordinance. Although this function is not purely judicial and is not conducted in a court of law, it is established in order to ensure equal justice. An appeals process is necessary because occasions or situations will arise when the strict enforcement of the zoning ordinance may create physical or practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships on an individual property owner. A board of appeals is created to carry out this important function. An appeal of a decision of a board of appeals is taken directly to Circuit Court.

These three basic functions are summarized on the following page in Chart One. The chart refers to the appropriate section of this guide which presents the procedures used to process each of these types of zoning activities.

In addition to these three basic zoning functions, there is an important supplemental element which can be called the technical element. This involves fact finding, technical assistance in processing requests, and receiving advisory opinions related to the land use issue(s) at hand. The variety of groups which a community may employ for zoning (and planning) technical assistance include the municipal attorney, community planners, engineers and other professionals; when and as necessary. Depending upon the size, need and financial capability of the municipality, professional planning assistance can be obtained by either (1) hiring a professional planner; (2) retaining a professional consultant or firm by contract; or (3) seeking advice, when the need arises, from a county, regional or state planning agency.

The Public Officials

Who Carry Out Zoning Functions

The public officials most clearly responsible for advising on or deciding various zoning requests are described in the following three sections. They are addressed relative to each of the basic zoning functions.


Legislative Body

CITY OR VILLAGE COUNCIL

The city or village council is the elected governing body of the community. As the local legislative body, only the city or village council has the authority to formally adopt a zoning ordinance and amendments to the text and zoning map.

Responsibilities:

When municipal zoning is first contemplated, the legislative body under Act 207 of 1921, as amended, may create a city or village zoning commission pursuant to Section 4(2) of the City-Village Zoning Act, or if a planning commission already exists, they must be appointed to fulfill this responsibility. The statute also authorizes the legislative body to act as a zoning commission if no planning commission exists. However, the city or village charter may specify that only a planning commission may carry out these responsibilities. The planning/zoning commission researches, studies, and proposes a draft



Legislative Body

ordinance according to a plan, for consideration by the city or village council. The process of developing the ordinance, holding the required public hearing, and resolving initial public concerns is carried out before the city or village council formally receives the proposed ordinance. The council reviews and considers the text, map and all public comments. Furthermore, it looks to see how or if public comments were adequately resolved. At its discretion or as may be required by charter, the city or village council may hold additional public hearings and may also refer suggested ordinance changes back to the planning commission for a further report. Thereafter, the city or village may adopt it. A majority vote of the entire membership of the legislative body is required. A notice of ordinance adoption must be published in a newspaper of general circulation within 15 days after adoption.

CHART ONE

PRINCIPAL ZONING FUNCTIONS

WHO	DOES WHAT	HOW
Zoning Bodies & Officials	Zoning Functions/Activities (statute reference)	General Procedures See Page of this Guide
<i>LEGISLATIVE</i>		
City-village legislative body after reviewing recommendations of planning/zoning commission ¹	Zoning ordinance adoption and amendments (Section 4)	11
<i>ADMINISTRATIVE</i>		
Zoning administrator	Zoning permits (Sec. 7)	14
	Certificates of Occupancy (Sec. 7)	15
	Zoning Violations (Sec. 7)	17
Varies-typically zoning administrator and planning/zoning commission	Site plan (Sec. 4d)	16
Varies-may be administrative official, planning/zoning commission, city-village council	Special land uses (Sec. 4a)	19
Varies-may be administrative official, planning/zoning commission, city-village council	Planned unit developments (Sec. 4b) ²	20
<i>QUASI-JUDICIAL</i>		
Board of appeals	Appeals ³ -ordinance interpretation-variances (Sec. 5)	22
An administrative official, planning commission/zoning commission, legislative body	Conducts public hearings	25

¹Planning/zoning commission - means planning commission if there is no zoning commission or if the legislative body is not acting as a zoning commission.

²Planned unit developments may also be handled as amendments requiring legislative action by the city-village council.

³Appeals of decisions on special land uses or planned unit developments may be taken to the board of appeals only if so specified in the ordinance.

The role of the legislative body in district boundary map changes (rezonings) or text changes is the same as in adoption of the original ordinance. The zoning ordinance and zoning map can only be changed by official action of the legislative body of the municipality. No other municipal official or body has this authority.

In addition to the city or village council's legislative role described above, the city or village council is also permitted by state statute to perform administrative and appellate roles in certain activities, providing the local zoning ordinance so specifies. For example, an administrative role could involve approval of certain special land uses and planned unit developments (see pages and for further information on these zoning techniques). If the city or village council is acting as a board of appeals pursuant to Section 5 of the Act, it may also hear appeals of an administrative zoning decision. However, if there is a separate board of appeals (by far the best alternative), the city council may not overrule a decision on an issue properly brought before a board of appeals. Nor for that matter, may the board of appeals review a decision by the city or village council denying or approving a rezoning or text amendment. An appeal of a decision by the city or village council regarding an amendment to the ordinance goes directly to Circuit Court.

Administrative Bodies and Officials

Administrative zoning responsibilities are generally shared between the zoning administrator and the planning/zoning commission. Typical responsibilities of the zoning administrator and the planning and zoning commission are described in the following pages. These duties typically include review and approval or disapproval of requested zoning permits, site plans, special land uses and planned unit developments.

ZONING ADMINISTRATOR


The zoning administrator is typically either a separate municipal official or is also the building inspector. The zoning administrator has the responsibility for administering most of the provisions of the zoning ordinance. Other titles for the office are sometimes used, such as zoning inspector or zoning enforcement officer. Although not all cities or villages designate the building inspector as the zoning officer, many do for the sake of local coordination of zoning with building approvals. Some rural cities and villages use a part-time zoning administrator or may share the services of one with neighboring communities.

Normally, when applying for zoning approval, the zoning administrator is the municipal official whom an applicant would first make contact. The zoning administrator is specifically assigned the task of reviewing applications and inspecting sites for zoning compliance. This task is carried out before a zoning and/or building permit is granted and the process is usually specified in the zoning ordinance.

Depending on how a particular zoning ordinance is written, the zoning administrator may also carry out directives of the board of appeals and the planning commission. If there is a question regarding the meaning of the zoning ordinance, then the zoning administrator would obtain an interpretation of the ordinance from the board of appeals. A common situation arises when a person applies for a land use not clearly provided for in the ordinance. The zoning administrator asks the board of appeals to interpret the ordinance and to determine under which related class or kinds of permitted uses the proposed use would fall. Once designated, the districts in which that use was permitted and the standards required for that use are easily identified. When the board of

appeals rules on matters under their jurisdiction, they may issue directives to be carried out by the zoning administrator.

The zoning administrator may also seek out the planning/zoning commission for advisory comments and recommendations in the processing of individual zoning applications. This is done when the ordinance so requires or where questions of physical planning, land use impacts and site conditions arise which may be of concern to the public health, safety and general welfare.



Zoning Administrator

Responsibilities:

The principal duties and responsibilities of the zoning administrator should be clearly specified in the zoning ordinance. The most important of these is to administer the ordinance precisely as it is written. There is no authority to deviate from the ordinance, or to modify its requirements. In general, the principal duties of the zoning administrator are comprised of the following:


- Assist citizens in determining what zoning forms and procedures apply to proposed zoning requests and land use changes.
- Assist citizens in the completion of required permit application forms.
- Review and investigate permit applications to determine compliance with the provisions of the zoning ordinance.
- Issue the appropriate permit when all provisions of the ordinance have been complied with. If the proposed use is not in compliance with the ordinance, the applicant is notified and assisted with an appropriate alternative zoning procedure when possible, or with an appeal procedure if the applicant so chooses.
- Perform inspection duties to insure proposed land use changes are and will remain in compliance with the ordinance.
- Identify, monitor and control nonconforming uses.
- Investigate alleged violations of the ordinance and enforce corrective measures when required.
- Defend decisions of the office before the board of appeals.
- Keep the zoning map, text and office records up to date by recording all amendments, and retaining a copy of pertinent official documents.

- Periodically report to the planning/zoning commission and city or village council on the status of municipal zoning operations.
- Propose solutions to any problems encountered in administering the zoning ordinance.
- Establish and administer rules of procedure within the office.
- Provide information on zoning to citizens and public agencies upon request.
- Perform other duties as specified by local ordinance.
- Help develop and conduct in conjunction with the planning/zoning commission, a continuing program of public education on zoning matters.

PLANNING COMMISSION

For most municipalities, the planning commission also serves as the zoning commission. The authority for the planning commission to engage in zoning matters comes from both the Municipal Planning Act and the City-Village Zoning Act. By carrying out zoning responsibilities in addition to preparing plans, the zoning ordinance can be monitored to insure it continues to help guide community development consistent with the city or village plans. This is especially true when the zoning ordinance is based upon a good land use plan and appropriate physical development policies.

Once a zoning ordinance is adopted by a city or village council, the planning commission typically has both advisory and administrative responsibilities. It advises the legislative body on amendments to the ordinance text or zoning map. It also assists in those aspects of ordinance administration specified by the ordinance itself. These responsibilities often include review and approval of proposed site plans, special land uses and planned unit developments.



Planning Commission

Responsibilities:

(P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended and P.A. 285 of 1931, as amended)

Where a city or village has a planning commission, it must perform also as a zoning commission (see Section 4(2) of the City-Village Zoning Act and Section 12 of the Municipal Planning Act). The statutory authority

and requirements for the planning commission in terms of zoning administration are highlighted below.

1. The planning commission must prepare and adopt a master plan to guide development in the city or village. A zoning plan is one element of the master plan.
2. The planning commission prepares the original zoning ordinance including both text and map showing proposed zoning district boundaries. After a public hearing on the ordinance, the planning commission transmits it and a summary of the public hearing comments to the city or village council for adoption.
3. The planning commission also advises the city or village council concerning future amendments, changes, additions or departures from the ordinance.
4. The planning commission, if so specified in the ordinance, reviews proposed site plans, special land use requests and planned unit developments for compliance with standards stated in the ordinance and in accord with procedures contained in both the ordinance and the City-Village Zoning Act.
5. A minimum of one regular public meeting must be held each month.

Membership & Guidelines:

(P.A. 285 of 1931, as amended)

Membership requirements for the planning commission and some of its operating guidelines are identified in the Municipal Planning Act and may be supplemented by charter or other local ordinances. Statute requirements include two membership options:

Option 1

1. The planning commission has nine members who are representative of different professions or occupations.
2. Members of the planning commission are appointed by the mayor, if the mayor is an elected officer in the city or village. All appointments are subject to a majority vote of the total membership of the legislative body.
3. One member of the planning commission may also be a member of the board of appeals.
4. The term of office for each member is three years, except that three members of the first commission serve for one year, three for a term of two years, and three for a term of three years.

Option 2

1. The planning commission has up to nine members which may consist of the mayor, one administrative official of the city or village selected by the mayor, one member of the legislative body selected by its members ex officio, and six other members appointed by the mayor.
2. One of the appointed members may also be a member of the board of appeals but no other appointed members may hold other municipal offices.
3. The term of each appointed member, where six are appointed is three years or until his/her successor takes office except that the respective terms of two of the members first appointed are for one year and two for two years. The terms of ex officio members correspond to their respective

official tenures, except that the term of the administrative official selected by the mayor terminates with the mayor's term of office.

The planning commission must elect its chairperson from amongst the appointed members and create and fill such other of its offices as it may determine. The chairperson's term is one year with eligibility for reelection.


The planning commission must adopt rules for the transaction of business and must keep a public record of its resolutions, transactions, findings and determinations.

The planning commission may employ staff and contract for consultant services within the amounts appropriated by the city or village council for their work and in keeping with the requirements of the municipality for such actions.

Members of the planning commission may be compensated at a rate determined by the appointing or legislative body.

ZONING COMMISSION

If no planning commission has been established in the city or village, the zoning ordinance is to be prepared by a zoning commission appointed by the city or village council, or the council may act as the zoning commission. After ordinance adoption, the principal functions of the zoning commission are primarily advisory and administrative just as with a planning commission.



ZONING COMMISSION

Responsibilities:

(P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended, Section 4)

The statutory responsibilities of the zoning commission are outlined below:

1. The zoning commission must prepare a zoning ordinance having a text of regulations and a map showing proposed zoning district boundaries. After a public hearing, its recommendation and a summary of the public comments received at the hearing it conducts are transmitted to the city or village council.
2. The zoning commission must also advise and make recommendations to the city or village council concerning amendments, changes, additions to or departures from the ordinance.

3. The zoning commission, if so specified in the ordinance, reviews proposed site plans, special land use requests and planned unit developments for compliance with standards stated in the ordinance and in accord with procedures contained in both the ordinance and the City-Village Zoning Act.

The statute prescribes no membership requirements for a zoning commission.

Quasi—Judicial Body

BOARD OF APPEALS

The board of appeals is the only body at the municipal level to hear appeals on various zoning matters. When decisions are made in connection with administering the ordinance, it is possible that they will be appealed. The most common reasons for requests for action by the board of appeals are:

- Compliance with the required yard and setbacks or other standards on a particular parcel produced a practical difficulty or physical constraint for the individual.
- A strict application of zoning regulations to a particular property creates an unreasonable situation.
- There is a need to interpret or clarify a zoning regulation in order to apply the ordinance.
- A decision or requirement of the zoning administrator has been made which a property owner feels is inconsistent with the ordinance requirements.
- A use is not specifically provided for in the ordinance and ordinance interpretation is necessary to determine which use stated in the ordinance is most similar in character to the proposed use, and in which districts it is located.
- If permitted by the ordinance, to review a decision made by an administrative body or official on a special land use.


The municipal board of appeals, therefore, serves as the first level or step for an individual to appeal a decision, or ordinance standard as applied to his/her property or to request an interpretation of the ordinance. When a point of controversy cannot be resolved at this step, the next step is to proceed through the state court system, beginning with Circuit Court. No local body, including the city or village legislative body, can over-ride a decision of the board of appeals, as long as it concerns an action within their authority.

Responsibilities:

(P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended, Section 5)

The legislative body of a city or village may act as the board of appeals or as it most commonly the case, may appoint one. The statutory responsibilities of the board of appeals as cited in the City-Village Zoning Act appear below in summary form. The board of appeals:

1. Adopts rules of procedure to govern its actions as an appeals board.
2. Hears and acts upon questions referred to it that may arise from the administration of the zoning ordinance, including ordinance interpretation, review of standards, and the zoning map.



Board Of Appeals

3. Hears and acts upon appeals made from a review, order, requirement, decision or determination made by a body or official administering the zoning ordinance.
4. Hears and acts upon requests for variances, keeping in mind the spirit of the ordinance, public safety and justice.
5. May hear appeals with regard to special land uses only if expressly authorized in the local ordinance.
6. May impose reasonable conditions upon an affirmative decision.
7. Must state the basis or grounds for its decision. It must identify the facts which support the conclusions reached on the cases before it.
8. Conducts all meetings in public. Formal hearing of any appeal must be set within a reasonable time and conducted as a public hearing with due notice given to property owners and occupants of single and two-family dwellings within 300 feet of the premises of the property in question. Specific requirements of the local ordinance must also be adhered to. A decision must be made within a reasonable time.
9. Has all the powers of the (administrative) officer or body from whom the appeal is taken.
10. Can rehear a case, if ordered by Circuit Court. The court must find that the records are inadequate for proper court review, or that there is additional pertinent evidence which was not presented to the board of appeals. In this instance, the board of appeals must review their decision and either modify or affirm its original findings and decision.

An appeal stays all proceedings unless the officer or body from whom the appeal is taken certifies a stay would cause imminent peril to life or property, in which case proceedings are not stayed unless by a Circuit Court restraining order.

Membership & Guidelines

(P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended, Section 5)

Membership on the board of appeals and its operating guidelines are prescribed in the City-Village Zoning Act. Supplementary requirements may be found in the zoning ordinance and/or city or village charter. Statutory membership requirements include:

1. The legislative body of a city or village may itself act as a board of appeals or it may appoint a board of appeals consisting of not less than

five members each for three year terms. Appointments for the first year are to be staggered for a period of one, two and three years, so as nearly as may be to provide for the appointment of an equal number each year.

2. The legislative body of a city or village may also if it so desires, appoint in accord with the procedures specified in the zoning ordinance not more than two alternate members for the same term as regular members of the board of appeals. The alternate members may serve in the absence of a regular member if a regular member is absent from or unable to attend two or more consecutive meetings of the board of appeals or for a period of more than 30 consecutive days. An alternate member may also be called to serve in the place of a regular member for the purpose of reaching a decision on a case in which the regular member has abstained for reasons of conflict of interest. The alternate members having been appointed shall serve in a case until a final decision has been made. The alternate member shall have the same voting rights as a regular member of the board of appeals.
3. If a city or village has created or may create a board of rules or board of building appeals, that board may be enlarged to consist of not less than five members and this board may be appointed the board of appeals.

Voting requirements for actions of the board of appeals differ depending on the size of the city or village, and on the type of action or request before them.

NOTES:

CHAPTER III

GENERAL ZONING PROCEDURES

Introduction

This part of the guide describes general procedures for administering the principal zoning functions in Michigan cities and villages. Each function is described in terms of the following:

- When Zoning Approval is Necessary
- People Involved
- General Procedural Steps
- Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions

Most communities use standard forms to facilitate review and approval of zoning requests. If standard forms are not in use in your community, it will be valuable to develop some. If they are in use, they should be reviewed to insure they contain the necessary information to effectively implement zoning procedures.

There are generally three broad phases through which all zoning requests must pass: application or submission; investigation-evaluation (fact-finding and analysis); and final action. Throughout each phase in the process, proper and defensible zoning administration requires (1) responsible communication, (2) diligent record keeping and (3) consistent adherence to all specific procedural requirements and standards found in the local zoning ordinance and the City-Village Zoning Act. Once zoning compliance is achieved by an applicant, a requested zoning approval must be granted.

As a guide to insuring proper zoning decisions are made, consistent with the authority of the approval body authority and the purpose of the ordinance, sample checklists of review considerations are provided.

These include sample checklists to:

- Guide Decisions on Zoning Amendments
- Guide Decisions on Zoning Permit Reviews
- Guide Decisions on Site Plan Reviews
- Guide Decisions on Special Land Uses
- Guide Decisions on Planned Unit Developments
- Guide Decisions on Variances

Guidelines for conducting public hearings and maintaining hearing records are also provided.

Specific land use and facility design criteria, or industrial performance standards commonly applied to individual land uses are not discussed here; but are no less important. To some extent, such location and design criteria, as well as performance standards may be obtained from source books published by the particular trade or industry involved. These may also be available from planning, engineering and architectural professionals in private firms and public agencies. Of course, local standards should also be developed as is appropriate.

Legislative Zoning Procedures

ZONING AMENDMENTS

When An Amendment is Necessary

When a property owner, or city or village officials seek a change in the provisions, rules or requirements of the zoning ordinance (*text change*) or a change in the mapping of district boundaries (*re-zoning*).

People Involved

Petitioner(s), zoning administrator, the planning/zoning commission, and city or village legislative body.

General Procedural Steps

Amendments, as legislative actions, must follow the same route required for the adoption of the original ordinance. This means that the proposed amendment should be considered carefully along-side adopted city or township land use policies and plans. The review should establish the compatibility of the proposal with adopted community policies, plans and both existing and future land uses.

The general procedural steps for proposing zoning changes are as follows:

1. Applicant:
 - a) Inquires of the zoning administrator (or other designated officer) as to how one must proceed and obtains the application form(s).
 - b) Completes and files the application form(s) along with any required fee (and other supporting documentation) to the zoning administrator. If the application originates from official city or village body, the fee is usually waived.
2. Planning/Zoning Commission:
 - a) Reviews amendment application and studies the appropriateness of the proposed amendment. Study is based on established planning and zoning criteria, as set forth in the zoning ordinance and community plans.
 - b) A public hearing on the request is scheduled.
3. Opportunity for Public Comments:

By law, the planning/zoning commission must hold a public hearing before submitting its recommendation to the legislative body of the city or village. If the city or village council acts as the zoning commission, it must conduct the hearing. See page 25 for general recommendations on conducting public hearings. The public hearing requirements are as follows:

 - a) One public hearing is mandatory (additional public hearings are optional or may be required by local ordinance).
 - b) There must be one public notice in an official paper or a newspaper of general circulation in the city or village announcing the public hearing. The notice must be given not less than 15 days prior to the date of the hearing.
 - c) Notice of the public hearing must also be given by mail to each public utility company and to each railroad company owning or operating any public utility or railroad within the district or zones affected that registers its name and mailing address with the city or village clerk for the purpose of receiving the notice. The notice must also be given not less than 15 days before the public hearing.
 - d) If the amendment involves rezoning of land, notice of the rezoning must be given at least 15 days prior to hearing to the owner(s) of the property in question. (Many municipal ordinances also require notice to all adjacent property owners within 300 feet of the boundary of the property in question.)

If notices are sent by mail, an affidavit of mailing must be maintained as proof that the proper persons were notified within the proper number of days.

Public input is considered and evaluated.

4. Planning/zoning commission conducts the public hearing and considers all oral and written material presented. Thereafter, it votes on the application and transmits it along with a summary report to the city or village legislative body. The summary report includes:

- a) A summary of the comments made at the public hearing.
- b) Detailed findings concerning the application based on planning and zoning criteria and concerns raised at the hearing.
- c) A recommendation supported by the above findings.

5. City-village legislative body either:

- a) Adopts amendment (adoption may require a 2/3 majority vote or more if a protest petition has been filed. See "6. Abutter's challenge" below).

-or-

- b) Rejects amendment.

-or-

- c) May first hold additional public hearings preceeded by public notice thereof on the proposed amendments at its own initiative, or if required by local ordinance or charter.

-or-

- d) If the city or village legislative body feels changes in the proposed amendment are necessary, they may make such changes or they may refer the amendment back to the planning commission for its further report before taking final action.

- e) Abutter's challenge. If the owners of at least 20 percent of the area included in a proposed rezoning OR the owners of at least 20 percent of the area of land included within an area extending outward 100 feet from any point on the boundary of the land included in the proposed change (excluding publicly owned land) submit a properly signed protest petition to the clerk. Then the amendment to the zoning ordinance may be passed only by a 2/3 vote of the legislative

body, unless a larger vote, but not to exceed 3/4 vote, is required by ordinance or charter. In the absence of an abutter's challenge, only a simple majority of the total membership of the city or village council is necessary to amend the zoning ordinance.

- f) Each amendment must be adopted as an amendatory ordinance. A resolution is not a sufficient means for amendment of an ordinance.

Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Zoning Amendments

In order for planning/zoning commissions and city and village legislative bodies to objectively determine whether a proposed zoning amendment is appropriate, the following questions could be considered:

1. For amendment applications to add additional land uses to a zone (zoning district classification), it is appropriate to determine the following:
 - a) Is the proposed land use already provided for elsewhere in the ordinance?
 - b) Is the proposed land use compatible with uses already permitted in that district, including those permitted by right and by special land use permit?
 - c) Does the proposed land use relate well with the city or village land use plan? Assuming that the zoning district is in harmony with the city or village master plan, does the proposed use contribute to the character of development envisioned in the plan.
 - d) Does the proposed use relate well with the spirit and intent of the ordinance, and with the objectives of the zoning district?
 - e) Is the proposed use locationally appropriate throughout the district?
 - f) Is the proposed use most appropriate in the district if permitted by special land use permits?
 - g) Is there a need to add the proposed use at all?
2. For amendment applications to change or add additional regulations or standards, it is appropriate to determine:
 - a) Does the proposed rule, change or addition help reinforce the city or village land use plan?
 - b) Is the proposed rule, change or addition in keeping with the spirit and intent of the ordinance, and with the objectives of the zoning district, or does it go beyond the intent and objectives of valid public purposes?
 - c) What is the problem or issue which the change is intended to address? Can this be accomplished in another, more appropriate fashion? Is it a new response to new problems not addressed in the zoning ordinance?
 - d) Is the proposed text change easily enforceable?
3. For rezoning requests to change, create, extend or reduce a mapped zoning district, it is appropriate to determine:



- a) Are there substantial reasons why the property cannot be reasonably used as currently zoned?
- b) Is the use more appropriately handled as a special land use in the existing district or another district?
- c) Is the proposed zone change supported by the adopted city or village land use plan?
- d) Would the change of present district boundaries be consistent in relation to existing land uses in the area? Will it adversely affect property values?
- e) Are adequate sites properly zoned, available elsewhere to accommodate the proposed use?
- f) Would the rezoning constitute a 'spot zone' granting a special privilege to one landowner not available to others?
- g) Was there a mistake in the original zoning classification?
- h) Has there been a change of conditions in the area supporting the proposed rezoning?
- i) Would the change severely impact traffic, public facilities and the natural characteristics of the area, or significantly change population density? Is the change consistent with the purposes for which zoning is adopted?
- j) Is the proposed change out of scale with the needs of the community?
- k) If the change is approved, what will be the probable effect on stimulating similar zoning requests in the vicinity? Would this secondary affect negatively impact community plans and public services?
- l) Is the proposed change precedent setting?
- m) Is the proposed boundary appropriate?

Thus, for any amendment request, it is appropriate to give careful attention to the following:

- a) The effect of the proposed amendment on the comprehensive planning of the entire community.
- b) The changes in community characteristics that may take place because of the proposed change.
- c) The relative effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the present construction of the ordinance, and what specific improvement the proposed change will make.
- d) Whether a justification for a change exists, such as whether a change in conditions has taken place since the original zoning, or whether a mistake was made in the original zoning.
- e) Whether the amendment is designed to correct an improper situation or would result merely in the granting of special privileges.
- f) Whether an inappropriate precedent will be set.
- g) Whether the proposed change is consistent with the purpose and intent of the ordinance?
- h) Are there any "red tape" implications of the change?

- i) Whether the proposed change is consistent with the City-Village Zoning Act.
- j) Whether the change is exclusionary.
- k) Whether the change is reasonable.

As a general rule, most of these concerns are embodied in the following question when rezonings or district use changes are at issue: Is the proposed class of use appropriate in the proposed location (district)?

If these factors are carefully considered and sufficient data are available for evaluation, a sound recommendation will result. In some cases, it may be necessary for special studies and surveys to be made in order to obtain enough information to answer these questions. Where this is true, the commission should not hesitate to investigate, making certain that professional technical assistance is used whenever possible. In the case of a major amendment, such as for a shopping mall, a good technique for ensuring that the above points are thoroughly explored is to perform an environmental impact assessment. Large scale zoning changes can have tremendous environmental, social, fiscal and public utility implications. These may greatly affect the community's master plan and should be evaluated very carefully.

BEWARE OF THESE ZONING EXCUSES

"Sad but true, far too many hearings on rezoning cases resemble a horse trading affair being carried out in a comic soap opera fashion.

Some of the more ridiculous excuses offered for granting rezoning follow such lines as:

- What is proposed is better than what is there.
- The lot is only a weed patch, this will clear it up.
- You can't keep a man from using his land.
- This will bring in more revenue.
- The owner of the land can get more money for it if its rezoned to commercial.
- There are more vehicles on the street than when he built there or bought the property.
- I promised the people if I were elected I would keep taxes down.
- I am sure he would build something good.
- They are too big an outfit, we can't deny the rezoning.
- Her husband is overseas fighting for our country, how can we deny it?
- He is just an old man trying to make a living, this won't really hurt anyone.
- Service stations provide quick urban renewal.
- We have to bring commerce and industry in today, not worry about a plan of tomorrow.
- I promised the people if I were elected I would bring commerce and industry into our city and this will be a start.
- We approved the commercial rezoning for the other fellow, how can we deny this one?
- We don't have any right to say where commercial or industrial developments should go.
- He invested a lot of money in this land and these proposals thinking the rezoning would be granted, how can we deny it?
- There is commercial zoning on the other corner, how can we deny it on this corner?
- Like his attorney said, it's probably "unconstitutional", and we don't know for sure.
- We don't want to have to go to court, after all it really doesn't look so bad."

Adapted from the Northern Kentucky Area Planning Commission Newsletter, December, 1967.

Administrative Zoning Procedures

ZONING PERMITS

Land development consistent with the municipal zoning ordinance is monitored by a zoning permit system. The two most commonly used ways are: (1) by issuance of a separate zoning permit, or (2) as an element of the requirement for a building permit. Either approach is workable, however, one should be aware of the advantages and disadvantages of each as outlined below:

Zoning Permit as a Separate Permit

Advantages:

- separate review process
- can handle all zoning situations dealing with uses, structures and lots
- may be necessary if building permits are handled by another agency
- insures proper record keeping and documentation practices

Disadvantages:

- creates one additional permit requirement for the builder/developer
- creates an additional administrative expense if the municipality already has a building permits program

Building Permit With Zoning Compliance Checkoff

Advantages:

- one combined permit process, less "red tape"

Disadvantages:

- difficult to enforce all zoning regulations related to changes in use when no construction is involved (and hence, no building permit is needed)
- record keeping and documentation practices necessary for zoning administration are apt to be subordinated

When Zoning Approval is Necessary

Unless a particular use or structure is specifically exempted, zoning approval, either as a separate zoning permit or as part of a building permit is necessary:

1. Prior to construction of a new structure or addition to either a principal structure or use or to an accessory structure.
2. Prior to changing from one use of land to a different use.
3. Prior to moving a prebuilt structure onto a parcel.
4. Prior to changing certain accessory uses of land such as parking areas or signs.

Note: A change in occupancy or ownership of a parcel or structure where the same land use is to be maintained in the same fashion and to the same extent, does not usually require a zoning or building permit.

People Involved

Applicant, zoning administrator and possibly the planning/zoning commission.


General Procedural Steps

1. Applicant:

- a) Inquires about the ordinary requirements pertaining to his/her proposed use of land with the zoning administrator and obtains the proper application forms.
- b) Completes and submits application along with fee and other supporting documentation to the zoning administrator.
- c) If site plan approval is required by the zoning ordinance, the site plan would also be submitted.

2. Zoning Administrator:

- a) Reviews the application:
 - 1) To make sure that it is the proper application for the zoning action requested.
 - 2) To see that all required information is submitted.
 - 3) To determine zoning compliance.
- b) Takes one or more of the following preliminary actions:
 - 1) Requests from the applicant that any omitted or pertinent and necessary information now be submitted.
 - 2) If necessary, requests the board of appeals to interpret an unclear ordinance provision.
 - 3) Reviews the submitted site plan according to site plan review standards as set forth in the zoning ordinance.
 - 4) If required by the local ordinance, discusses the application and site plan with the planning/zoning commission for advisory comments or approval (depending upon ordinance authorization).
 - 5) Makes a site inspection to verify accuracy of the application and to gather additional information.



c) Takes final action:

- 1) Approves application (and site plan) if the proposed use complies with all ordinance requirements and if no special review procedure (such as with a planned unit development) is required. A zoning permit is issued or checked off on a building permit.
- 2) Disapproves and rejects application (and site plan) with reasons given in writing.

d) A decision of the zoning administrator may be appealed to the board of appeals.

Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Zoning Permit Reviews

When reviewing an application for a use or structure, the zoning administrator will consider a number of physical aspects about its development in relation to the zoning ordinance. However, because the review generally involves a simple check for zoning compliance and no discretion is involved (except if there is a requirement for site plan review), the zoning administrator will particularly look at certain key elements. Many administrators have developed these key elements into a standardized or systematic review format. Shown below are some of the common key considerations contained in such a format.

All proposed uses and structures to be developed within any zoning district are reviewed to insure compliance with each of the following ordinance requirements:

1. That the proposed use is permitted either by right, by special use permit, or by other review and approval procedures provided for by this ordinance in the district in which proposed use is to be located.
2. Minimum site area requirements of the district (lot size, lot width, lot coverage, required yard setbacks, setbacks from water bodies, streams and floodplains, maximum building height, etc.).
3. Minimum building requirements, if any (required area).
4. Minimum dimensions of parking space and required number of parking spaces.
5. Sign requirements.
6. Required lighting, fencing, screening or buffer strips, if any.
7. All public structural or development easements where such exists.
8. All special standards and conditions applicable to the proposed uses or structures which are specifically provided for in the ordinance.
9. All general provisions of the zoning ordinance applicable to the proposed use or structure(s).

CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY

The certificate of occupancy (C.O.) is a permit which is often required before a new or old structure is occupied or used, and is usually granted after an inspection. The major purpose for a C.O. is to insure zoning compliance. For example, the C.O. helps to make sure that:

1. After construction, a new structure is the same as the one for which a zoning permit was granted.

2. Before occupancy, a new use in a pre-existing structure is the same as the use for which a zoning permit was granted.
3. Both the structure and the use comply with all zoning requirements (and any conditions previously imposed).

It should be noted that because of the similarity to the zoning permit, the use of a certificate of occupancy is not common in rural villages where the pace of development is slow to moderate and a second separate permit is not really necessary to insure ordinance compliance. However, C.O.'s are especially useful (1) where active zoning compliance is critical to the welfare of the community, and (2) in those municipalities where the city or village does not issue building permits.

When A Certificate of Occupancy is Necessary

This is a matter of local preference and is established in the zoning ordinance. Generally, a certificate of occupancy can be required:

1. Prior to occupying a new structure.
2. Prior to using land in a manner different from the previous use.
3. Prior to occupying an existing structure with a "new" type of use other than what previously existed.

Note: Certificates of occupancy are sometimes used to document lawfully existing nonconforming uses and nonconforming structures.

People Involved

Applicant, zoning administrator.

General Procedural Steps

1. Applicant:
 - a) Notifies zoning administrator that the structure and use are ready for inspection.
2. Zoning administrator:
 - a) Contacts applicant to establish a mutually agreed upon date and time for inspection.
 - b) Researches and reviews any known records relating to site in question.
 - c) Inspects for compliance with zoning requirements and with previously imposed conditions, if any.

(If occupancy permit review is combined with building permit review, the zoning administrator also inspects for compliance with building code.)

3. Zoning administrator takes final action:

- a) Grants the certificate of occupancy.

-or-

- b) Delays subject to completion or alterations necessary to achieve full compliance and follow-up inspection.

-or-

- c) Denies a certificate of occupancy in writing based on inspection findings of noncompliance with the ordinance and initiates enforcement action pursuant to ordinance requirements.

The certificate of occupancy procedure requires no discretionary decisions. It is simply an inspection by the zoning administrator to determine compliance with the requirements of the zoning ordinance and adherence with any conditions previously imposed by a municipal body or official during the course of the zoning process. A certificate of occupancy permit may not be denied if there is compliance with all ordinance requirements.

SITE PLANS

Most ordinances require that a site or plot plan or at least a sketch be submitted as part of the application for a particular land use. The site plan is used to insure ordinance compliance and to study both on-site and off-site impacts from proposed development. These impacts include ingress/egress, interior/exterior traffic flow, storm drainage, erosion, grading of land, landscaping, lighting and parking. A site plan can vary in detail depending upon the size and complexity of the project, and the administrative needs of the local governmental structure. Some site plans are highly detailed blueprints while other site plans may be nothing more than simple sketches affixed to the backside of zoning permit forms.

The importance to the zoning administrator of having a site (or sketch) plan to review cannot be overstated. The final site plan effectively documents that the applicant is knowledgeable of the regulations and is in compliance with them. Also, once a permit is granted based upon the final site plan, the approved site plan then serves as an enforcement tool.

When Zoning Approval is Necessary


Site plan review is most often not a separate zoning procedure, but instead is a part of another review process, such as review to receive a zoning permit, to obtain a special land use permit, or in relation to a request for a variance. When a separate procedure is specified in a city or village zoning ordinance, it must be followed. Site plan review is required by statute relative to special land uses and planned unit developments. Section 4d of the City-Village Zoning Act provides the authority and requirements for use of the site plan review technique.

People Involved

Typically, the zoning administrator and/or the planning/zoning commission are involved in site plan review. The statute requires that the zoning ordinance "specify the body, board or official charged with reviewing site plans and granting approval."

General Procedural Steps

Procedural steps vary tremendously from community to community. The statute requires, however, that the zoning ordinance specify "the procedures and requirements for the submission and approval of site plans." Decisions rejecting, approving or conditionally approving a site plan must be based upon requirements and standards stated in the ordinance. If a site plan complies with the ordinance requirements, it must be approved. Once approved, the site plan becomes a part of the record of approval and "subsequent actions relating to the activity authorized shall be consistent with the approved site plan, unless a change conforming to the zoning ordinance receives the mutual agreement of the landowners and the individual or body which initially approved the site plan."



Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Site Plan Reviews

In making rational, defensible decisions and in setting forth conditions for permit approval, uniform review criteria should be employed. Following is an example of typical criteria currently in use. These criteria presume that an ordinance contains standards similar to those cited, which must be complied with to gain zoning approval.

The site plan is reviewed in order to determine:

1. That the proposed use conforms to the uses permitted in that zoning district.
2. That the dimensional arrangement of building and structure conform to the required yards, setbacks and height restrictions of the ordinance.
3. That the proposed use conforms to all use and design provisions and requirements (if any) as found in the zoning ordinance for specified uses.
4. That there is a proper relationship between the existing and proposed streets and highways within the vicinity in order to assure the safety and convenience of pedestrian and vehicular traffic.
5. That the proposed on-site buildings, structures and entry ways are situated and designed to minimize adverse effects (upon owners and occupants of adjacent and surrounding properties) by providing for adequate design of ingress/egress, interior/exterior traffic flow, storm drainage, erosion, grading, lighting and parking, as specified by the zoning ordinance or other county or state law.
6. That natural features of the landscape are retained where they can enhance the development on the site, or where they furnish a barrier or buffer between the project and adjoining properties (used for dissimilar purposes) or where they assist in preserving the general safety, health and appearance of the neighborhood, i.e. controlling erosion or the discharge of storm waters, etc.
7. That adverse effects of the proposed development and activities upon adjoining residents or owners are minimized by appropriate screening, fencing, or landscaping (as provided or required in the zoning ordinance).
8. That all buildings and structures are accessible to emergency vehicles.
9. That the site plan as approved is consistent with the intent and purposes of the zoning ordinance which is to promote the public health,

safety and general welfare, to encourage the use of lands in accordance with their character and adaptability, to avoid the overcrowding of population, to lessen congestion on the public roads and streets, to reduce hazards of life and property, and to facilitate existing municipal land use and development plans.

ZONING VIOLATIONS

There will come a time in every community when the zoning ordinance is violated. Whether this is intentional or unintentional is of little importance. The credibility of successful zoning lies not only in the ability to administer it reasonably and fairly, but in the ability to enforce zoning requirements. Many violations of zoning are voluntarily reported by alarmed residents, concerned citizens and public officials. Following is a discussion of ways of enforcing zoning and handling violators.

When Necessary

1. When a suspected zoning violation is reported to the zoning administrator.
2. When the zoning administrator identifies what appears to be a violation or a change of use that would be in violation of the ordinance.

People Involved

Suspected violator, zoning administrator, city-village legislative body and city or village attorney.

General Procedural Steps

This section discusses two alternative approaches to dealing with zoning violations. The first is an example of a general approach with several opportunities for correction prior to court action. The second approach is a zoning summons approach which can be used by some communities where violations may be too numerous and costly and time consuming to prosecute using the first approach. The basic authority and procedure for either or both of these approaches should be specified in the local ordinance.

A. General Approach:

1. A suspected zoning violation is reported or identified.
2. Zoning administrator:
 - a) Makes a preliminary visit to the site to identify a zoning violation. If no violation is found, the matter is documented and the case closed. The person contacting the city or village of the supposed violation should be contacted about the outcome.
 - b) If a violation is found, it is documented as are the facts supporting this conclusion. A photo showing the violation and containing the date, time, place and signed by the observer should be made and retained.
 - c) Meets face to face with the landowner to discuss the violation.
 - 1) The zoning violation is explained.
 - 2) Remedial measures to correct the violation are concretely identified for the landowner.
 - d) Issues a notice of violation (after the meeting) to the violator with one copy to be filed.

The notice documents:

- 1) The zoning violation,
 - 2) The meeting,
 - 3) Measures to be taken to correct the violation, and
 - 4) A (uniform) period of time given within which to correct the violation.
- e) Reinspects the site, upon expiration of the time period. If compliance is shown, the zoning administrator so signifies on the file copy and the violator's copy of the notice of violation. The matter is resolved.
- f) Schedules a hearing to be held by the city or village legislative body (or other body if so designated in the ordinance).
- g) Issues a second notice of violation to the violator. The notice:

- 1) Advises that a hearing before the city or village legislative body has been scheduled.
- 2) Gives the date, time and place of the hearing.
- 3) Requires that the violator or his/her agent appear in order to show cause as to why the city or village should not proceed in court to prosecute the violation, and
- 4) States that correction of the violation prior to the hearing date will automatically void the requirement of a hearing before the city or village legislative body.

3. Legislative body (or other designated body):

- a) Hears the violator's "show cause" response as to:
- 1) Why compliance with zoning has not been met, and
 - 2) Why the ordinance should not be enforced against the violator.



Zoning Violation

- b) Directs the zoning administrator and the city-village attorney to proceed with a formal complaint in Circuit Court if the violator or his/her agent fails to appear, does not give valid reasons for noncompliance or does not give valid reasons against enforcement of the ordinance.

Where the violation involves unlawful construction or illegal usage, either of which may be of a critical nature as specified in the ordinance, a Circuit Court injunction can be an added enforcement action and may be necessary to proceed with immediately.

Upon conviction, the violator usually pays a fine and certain legal costs (optional). Many local ordinances also state "each day of conviction, and during which a violation continues shall be deemed a separate offense". Remedies are also usually cumulative.

B. Zoning Summons Approach

The zoning summons approach is a popular alternative used by some communities. It is a good intermediate technique which often resolves the problem, and reduces the number of times the city or village attorney or a court has to be relied upon. The following is a general description of how this approach is used:

1. A suspected zoning violation is reported or identified.
2. Zoning administrator:
 - a) Makes a preliminary visit to the site to identify if a zoning violation exists. If no violation is found, the matter is documented and the case closed.
 - b) If a violation is found, it is documented along with the facts supporting this conclusion. A photo showing the violation and containing the date, time, place and signed by the observer should be made and retained.
 - c) Meets face to face with the landowner to discuss the violation.
 - 1) The zoning violation is explained.
 - 2) Remedial measures to correct the violation are concretely identified for the landowner.
 - d) Issues a "warning ticket" (after the meeting) to the violator with one copy to be filed. The ticket states:
 - 1) The zoning violation,
 - 2) The meeting,
 - 3) Measures to be taken to correct the violation, and
 - 4) A (uniform) period of time allowed within which to correct the violation.
 - e) Reinspects the site, upon expiration of the time period. If compliance is shown, the zoning administrator so signifies on the file copy and the violator's copy of the warning ticket. The matter is resolved.

However, if the zoning violation still exists after the expiration of the time period, the zoning administrator generally proceeds in the following manner:

- f) Issues a "zoning summons" to the violator. The summons:

- 1) Cites the zoning violation;
- 2) Gives the date of first meeting and when warning ticket was first issued;
- 3) Repeats the original measures specified in the warning ticket that were to be taken to correct violation;
- 4) Indicates the fine as may be previously specified by ordinance or city or village legislative body action, payable to District Court. *Where the violation involves unlawful construction or illegal usage, either of which may be of a critical nature as specified in the ordinance, Circuit Court injunction might be a better enforcement action;* and
- 5) Specifies the date and the time by which the fine is to be paid to the District Court or at which a "not guilty" plea is to be entered, usually 5-7 days.


In most cases, compliance will be gained at this point. But if not, the zoning administrator:

- g) Issues a separate zoning summons for each day (or week) after the date the first summons was issued.
 - h) Reports to the city or village council about any violators who have accumulated repetitive summons for the same violation.
3. City-village council:
 - a) Directs the zoning administrator and the municipal attorney to proceed with a formal complaint to Circuit Court for injunctive relief.

As with zoning permits and certificates of occupancy, the enforcement process requires no discretionary decision on the part of the zoning administrator. The administrator simply follows adopted procedures and documents that he/she witnesses and what actions have transpired. Inspections are made according to the city or village zoning ordinance requirements.

SPECIAL LAND USES

Special land uses are typically described as those uses of land which may be appropriate and compatible with existing or permitted land uses in a particular zoning district if individualized care is taken to assure that the characteristics of the use under consideration are compatible with adjacent land uses, the natural aspects of the site, and the general character of the area, including availability of public services and facilities. Typically, a special land use permit is issued to identify compliance with the special land use requirement. The City-Village Zoning Act specifically grants authority for municipalities to utilize the special land use technique in Section 4A of the Act. The statute requires that uses permitted as special land uses be identified in the ordinance. The body or official responsible for reviewing and approving special land uses as well as the review procedures and standards upon which approval will be based must also be stated in the ordinance.



A Special Land Use?

When a Special Land Use Permit is Necessary

A special land use permit is typically necessary prior to development of (or conversion to) a use which is listed in the zoning ordinance as a special land use. Sometimes these uses are called special exceptions, special uses, special approval uses, or conditional uses.

People Involved

Applicant, zoning administrator and the body or official responsible for reviewing and approving special land uses. The review and approval body or official may be either the zoning board, the planning/zoning commission, an administrative official or the city or village legislative body. An appeal of a decision on a special land use may be taken to the board of appeals *only if it is so specified in the ordinance*; otherwise, an appeal would go to Circuit Court. *The Board of Appeals is not authorized to be the body responsible for original review and approval of special land uses.*

General Procedural Steps

1. Applicant:

- a) Inquires about requirements with the zoning administrator and obtains the proper application forms.
- b) Completes and submits the application along with fee (and other supporting documentation) to the zoning administrator or the official responsible for receiving special land use applications.
- c) Submits a required site plan for approval (Act 207 of 1921, as amended, Section 4d(4)).

2. Zoning administrator:

- a) Reviews application package:
 - 1) To make sure that it is the right application for zoning action requested;
 - 2) To see that all required information is submitted; and
 - 3) To make sure that the proposed use is permitted in that particular district by special land use permit.
- b) Takes one or more of the following actions:
 - 1) Requests from the applicant that any omitted or necessary information now be submitted;

- 2) If necessary, seeks ordinance interpretation from the board of appeals; and/or
- 3) Forwards the complete application to the body or official designated to review and approve special land uses.

3. Special land use review body (or official):

- a) Reviews site plan according to site plan review standards, as set forth in the zoning ordinance.
- b) Reviews the proposed special land use according to standards for special land use permits, as set forth in the ordinance.

4. Opportunity for public comments.

If the standards for special land use permits are such that a discretionary decision is to be made, the reviewing body or official must *either*:

- a) Give public notice in a newspaper of general circulation of official receipt of an application for a special land use permit which:
 - 1) Describes the nature of the special land use request,
 - 2) Indicates the property in question,
 - 3) States the time and place where the special land use request will be considered,
 - 4) Indicates when and where written comments will be received concerning the request, and
 - 5) Indicates that a public hearing on the proposed special land use may be requested by any property owner or the occupant of any structure located within 300 feet of the boundary of the property being considered for a special land use permit.

This notice is also mailed or delivered to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the property in question. These notices must be made between five and 15 days before the date on which the application is to be considered. An affidavit of mailing or delivery of notice must be maintained.

-OR-

- b) Give public newspaper notice of a scheduled public hearing. This hearing notice includes items 1) through 4) above. It is also mailed or delivered to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the property in question. These public hearing notices must be made between five and 15 days before the public hearing date. An affidavit of mailing or delivery of notice must be maintained.

All public input is considered and evaluated. A summary of the public comments should be retained in the record of the meeting.

5. Special land use review body (or official) takes final action and either:

- a) Approves special land use permit application and final site plan. The zoning administrator is directed to issue permit.

-or-

- b) Approves special use permit application and final site plan subject to conditions which are imposed in order to insure the special land use complies with standards stated in the ordinance. The zoning administrator is directed to issue permit.

-or-

- c) Disapproves application and final site plan.

Note: All decisions must be accompanied with a concluding statement citing the reasons for the decision and any conditions which may be imposed. The facts presented in the application, staff report (if any) and from public comments should be specifically related to the standards required for approval. If the facts show that the ordinance standards are not met, the application must be denied. If all ordinance standards are met, the application must be approved.

Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Special Land Uses

A site plan is required for submission and approval of all special land use permit applications. Frequently, the review and approval of the proposed site plan is handled as a part of the special land use process and not as a separate procedure.

An important concern in reviewing special land use requests is whether or not the proposed site is appropriate for the land use in question. A special land use is usually a unique use which may have particular intrinsic or design characteristics that could possibly create potential problems for adjacent property owners. For this reason, a special land use may be appropriate in one place, but not in all locations throughout the particular zoning district. A classic situation is a proposal to build an all-night gasoline service station or car wash near a predominantly residential intersection, even though the subject property is zoned for commercial uses. Rational judgements and conditions need to be made to protect adjacent lands and the overall character of the area from adverse changes and impacts. The following checklist is suggested for considering the appropriateness of a special land use in a particular area:

1. Relationship to the general safety, health and welfare of the community-at-large. This includes:
 - accessibility of the property in question to fire and police protection;
 - traffic conditions, creating or adding to a hazardous situation;
 - transportation design requirements, if any, which will be needed to accommodate any traffic impact from the use intended; and
 - appropriateness of the location, nature and height of the proposed use to the size, type and kind of buildings, uses and structures in the vicinity and adjacent properties, including the safety and convenience of people therefrom.
2. Any potential decrease in the market value of adjacent buildings, uses and structures which are permitted by right under current zoning, if the proposed special land use is approved.
3. Harmony with the city or village land use plan. This considers whether the location and size of the proposed use, the nature and intensity of

the activities involved, the size of the site with respect to existing and future streets (giving access to it), parks and drainage systems, will be in harmony with the city or village land use plan, and the character of land use which is intended by said city or village plan for the area or district in question.

4. Impact from the applicant's proposed use, its location and intensity and the height of its buildings, walls, fences and other structures upon the appropriate character of development existing or planned for the area.
5. Any hazards arising from storage and use of flammable fluids.
6. That the operations in connection with any special use shall not be environmentally objectionable to nearby properties by reason of noise, fumes, pollution, vibration, or light to an extent which is more than would be expected of any use permitted by right for the district in which the special land use is proposed.

PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS (PUD's)


The planned unit development, or PUD as it is frequently known, is a modern flexible application of zoning. The PUD zoning concept is employed by some communities to encourage innovative and imaginative project design. Sometimes it is called cluster zoning, community unit plan, or planned residential development. Its use has become very popular all over the country. In Michigan, as of March 1, 1979, the use of the PUD has been given statutory recognition in the City-Village Zoning Act, see Section 4b.

What is a Planned Unit Development?


"Planned unit development (PUD) is a device which allows a development to be planned and built as a unit and which...permits variations in many of the traditional controls related to density, land use, setbacks, open space and other design elements, and the timing and sequencing of the development. PUD, therefore, is both a type of development and a regulatory process. As a development type, PUD permits flexibility in site design that allows buildings to be clustered; mixtures of housing types such as detached houses, townhouses, or garden apartments, combining housing with such other ancillary uses as neighborhood shopping centers; better design and arrangement of open space; and retention of such natural features as flood plains or steep slopes. It offers greater opportunities for providing lower-cost housing along with conventional housing."

---Michael J. Meshenberg, *the Administration of Flexible Zoning Techniques, Planning Advisory Service Report No. 318 (Chicago: ASPO, June 1976), p. 19.*

Often communities define PUD's as large sites developed as a single unit combining a variety of structures and perhaps uses, in which ownership is retained by a single individual, partnership, corporation or association. Because of the trend to conserve and protect open space, sensitive land resources and the natural environment, a PUD may be the only feasible type of development where particular site conditions (e.g., flood plain, steep slopes, wetland and poor soils), demand clustering or grouping of structures on only part of the site.



Conventional Development



Planned Unit Development

Comparative Site Layout

Ways to Provide for a PUD in a City or Village Zoning Ordinance

Development of land based on a PUD concept is permitted only if the zoning ordinance expressly permits and provides for such development. In developing PUD provisions, the planning/zoning commission and the city or village council:

1. Defines the term "planned unit development" for zoning purposes,
2. Specifies who shall be the designated review and approval body or official,
3. Determines site eligibility criteria,
4. Establishes standards for approval,
5. Develops public hearing procedures, and
6. Sets forth the PUD review and approval process (which must include site plan review).

With respect to provision 6 above, one of two basic ways to provide for PUD's in a city or village zoning ordinance are: (1) as a special land use, and (2) as a separate zoning district. The special land use procedure is the simplest of these methods if special land uses are already provided for in the city or village zoning ordinance since the process of review and approval already exists. The only extra requirement prescribed by state statute for PUD's are: (1) that a public hearing is required for PUD's in contrast to the public notice option provided for single-purpose special uses; and (2) that special standards and eligibility criteria must also be provided specifically for review of PUD's. Under this alternative, the body or official charged with review and approval of special land uses also becomes the approving body for PUD's.

A separate PUD zone or district is an approach wherein the mandatory criteria, standards and requirements are contained in a separate PUD district, just as for any district. The local ordinance would have to include provisions which satisfy the above mentioned six features. Of course, in this instance, the ordinance would actually have to be amended to permit a PUD if the land was not already zoned as such and the city or village legislative body thus becomes the approval body.

When PUD Approval is Necessary

When an applicant desires to establish a PUD in a district in which PUD's are permitted as a special land use or when a special PUD district rezoning is requested.

People Involved

Applicant, zoning administrator, and the PUD review body or official. The PUD review body or official must be specified in the zoning ordinance as having the power to approve PUD's. The review and approval body or official may be either the planning/zoning commission, an administrative official or the city or village council.

General Procedural Steps

If the PUD is permitted as a special land use, then the procedures for processing special land uses (see page) are followed; *except that* a public hearing must be conducted. It is also permissible, if the ordinance so provides, for *preapplication conferences* to be held between the applicant and city or village officials before submission of *preliminary* site plans before the public hearing.



A Public Hearing Must Be Held On Proposed P.U.D.'s

If the PUD is permitted as a separate zoning district, the ordinance amendment process is integrated with the PUD review and approval process.

When a PUD application is found to satisfy all the criteria, standards, requirements and conditions for approval stated in the ordinance, the application must be approved. An appeal of a PUD decision may be taken to the board of appeals only if so specified in the zoning ordinance.

The process of reviewing the PUD application requires site plan review along with considerations similar to that of special uses. Thus, in addition to the site plan review and/or special land use decision guides, the following sample list of standards is suggested specifically for consideration in making PUD reviews:

Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on PUD's

1. Is the minimum PUD area requirement of the ordinance met? Are the densities appropriate for the area?
2. Is the location of the proposed PUD appropriate?
3. Are the proposed uses of the PUD permitted in the zoning district in which the PUD is to be located?
4. Is the PUD to be developed in multiple stages? If so, which parts are to be developed when?
5. Are all community water and sewer service requirements of all state, county and local agencies complied with?
6. Is all common property proposed in the PUD clearly provided for? Common property is a parcel or parcels of land, a privately-owned road or roads, together with the improvements thereon, the use and enjoyment of which are shared by the owners and occupants of the individual building sites. When common property exists, the ownership of such common property may be either public or private but should be specified in writing and approved separately. When privately owned, arrangements must be made for the improvement, operation, and maintenance of such common property and facilities, including private streets, drives, service parking and recreational areas.
7. Are necessary public easements on common property which is privately owned adequately granted? When common property exists in private ownership, the owners should be required to grant easements, over, under, and through such property, to the city or village as are required for public purposes, prior to final approval.
8. Are all site design standards complied with? These standards typically include:
 - lot area and yard requirements
 - height limitations
 - access
 - building areas (to be in harmony with PUD objectives)
 - boundary setbacks and buffer areas
 - off-street parking and loading
 - residential dwelling unit density (gross density)
 - landscaping
 - other local design limitations

9. Have any necessary performance guarantees been required to insure necessary public improvements are installed?
10. Have all conditions required for approval been documented and incorporated into the PUD permit approval?
11. Have a statement of findings and conclusions relative to the PUD proposal been specifically listed and retained as a part of the record?

Note: Final approvals may be granted on each phase of a multi-phased PUD if each phase contains the necessary components to insure protection of natural resources and the health, safety and welfare of the users of the PUD and the residents of the surrounding area. In establishing PUD requirements, the city or village may also incorporate by reference, other ordinances or statutes which regulate land development.

Quasi—Judicial Zoning Procedures

APPEALS — ORDINANCE

INTERPRETATION — VARIANCES

When Action by the Board of Appeals is Necessary

1. When an interpretation of the zoning ordinance or map is requested by a citizen or public official;
2. When a citizen appeals a decision made by the zoning administrator or by an administrative review body or official from which an appeal to the board of appeals is authorized by ordinance; or
3. When a variance from specific ordinance requirements is formally requested.

Decisions on special land uses and planned unit developments are not subject to an appeal to the board of appeals unless specifically stated in the local zoning ordinance.

Decisions by the city or village legislative body on amendments are not appealable to the board of appeals.

People Involved

- Any aggrieved citizen, or any officer, department, board or bureau of the city or village.
- Zoning administrator or an administrative review body (or official).
- Zoning board of appeals (and its staff).

General Procedural Steps

1. The person (or public agency or official) who makes the appeal:
 - a) Inquires of the local zoning administrator (or other designated officer) as to how one must proceed and obtains the appeal form(s).
 - b) Completes and files the necessary form(s) with any required fee to the board of appeals or official responsible for receiving such applications. On some matters, this must be done within a specified time, as prescribed by ordinance or the rules of the board of appeals. City or village bodies and officials are usually exempt from paying a fee if they initiate the appeal.
 - c) A copy of the appeal is transmitted to the official or body from whom the appeal is

taken. Likewise, this official or body must then transmit to the board of appeals all documentation and records upon which the appeal was based.

2. Board of appeals:

- a) Reviews the appeal form(s):
 - 1) To make sure that it is the proper form for the requested action, and
 - 2) To see that all required information is submitted.
- b) Schedules a hearing within a reasonable period and gives notice thereof to the parties affected in accord with ordinance procedures and any adopted rules.
- c) Notice of the appeal is also given by mail or personal delivery to the property owners and to the occupants of single and two-family dwellings within 300 feet of the premises in question.
- d) Formulates decision. The board of appeals considers the merits for the individual appeal based on standards and considerations established in the statute and as may be embodied in the ordinance.

3. Board of appeals takes final action:

The board of appeals has all the powers of the officer or body from whom the appeal was taken and may issue or direct the issuance of a permit. The board of appeals either:

- a) grants the appeal wholly or partly;

-or-
- b) grants the appeal wholly or partly with conditions attached;

-or-
- c) denies the appeal;

-or-
- d) when a variance is requested and there is just cause to grant such request due to practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship, the board of appeals may reasonably vary or modify specific local zoning requirements so that the spirit of the ordinance is observed, public safety secured and substantial justice done.

The board of appeals must state the reasons and facts supporting their reasons for any decision made. These must be written in the record.

4. Voting requirements on final actions:

Voting requirements for actions of the board of appeals differs depending on the size of the city or village, and on the type of action or request before them.

- a) In a city or village having a population of less than 1,000,000, the concurring vote of a majority of the total membership of the board of appeals is necessary to reverse an order, requirement, decision or determination of an administrative official or body, or to decide in favor of the applicant a matter upon which they are required to pass under the ordinance, or to effect a variation in an ordinance except that a concurring vote of 2/3 of the members of the board of appeals is necessary to grant a variance from uses

of land permitted in the ordinance (use variances).


- b) In a city of 1,000,000 or more, the concurring vote of 2/3 of the total membership of the board of appeals is necessary to pass an action by the board of appeals.
5. Further appeals in Circuit Court (P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended through 1979, Sections 10, and 5(6), 5(7) and 5(8)):

Should an appeal be taken one step further to the Circuit Court, the City-Village Zoning Act prescribes guidelines for court review of the record and decision of the board of appeals. The court reviews the decision to insure that it:

- a) Complied with the Constitution and state statutes.
- b) Is based on proper procedure.
- c) Is supported by competent, material, and substantial evidence of the record.
- d) Represents the reasonable exercise of discretion granted by law to the board of appeals.

Should the court find that the record of the board of appeals is inadequate to make the above review, or that additional evidence exists which was not presented to the board of appeals, the court can order the board of appeals to conduct further proceedings. The board of appeals may then change or stand by its original decision. The supplemental records and decision must be filed with the court.

As a result of court review, the court may affirm, modify or reverse a decision of the board of appeals.



A Typical Variance Situation

Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Dimensional Variances

The most common appeals deal with requests for a variance from specific ordinance standards such as dimensional requirements of the ordinance including: yard requirements, setback lines, lot coverage, frontage requirements and density regulations.

When a board of appeals considers a variance request, it is important that they keep in mind that the variance authority is designed to provide relief to a property owner from an ordinance requirement that is uniquely affecting him/her. It is not designed as a technique to grant special favors to some persons. Almost always people will claim that a variance will allow them to make more money from the property. However, this is not a legitimate argument, since zoning is not designed to permit the most profitable use of land, although reasonable use of property must be permitted. Where a number of property owners are facing the same problem and seek a variance to relieve their practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship, the appropriate remedy is amendment of the ordinance by the city or village legislative body and not a slew of variances by the board of appeals.

Where there are practical difficulties preventing a property owner from conforming with the strict letter of the ordinance, the board of appeals has the power in passing on appeals, to vary or modify any of its rules, regulations, or ordinance provisions by granting variances. Typically, the following conditions must exist:

1. Dimensional zoning requirements cannot be physically met by an existing lot due to narrowness, shallowness or irregular shape, or the topography or natural characteristics of the site inhibit the lawful location of a structure or its accessory (such as septic system, garage, shed).
2. The physical hardship is unique and is not shared by neighboring properties in the same zone. If board of appeals finds that the hardship is not unique, but common, amending the ordinance or a rezoning should be pursued.
3. The practical difficulty was not created by an action of the applicant and either existed at the time of adoption of the requirement from which the variance is requested, or is necessary as the result of governmental action such as a road widening.
4. The appellant presents information showing that a variance -
 - a) Will not be contrary with the intent and purpose of the zoning ordinance;
 - b) Will not cause a substantially adverse affect upon adjacent properties;
 - c) Will relate only to the property under control of the appellant;
 - d) Will not essentially alter the character of the surrounding area;
 - e) Will not increase the hazard from fire, flood or similar dangers; and
 - f) Will not increase traffic congestion;
5. The variance is the minimum necessary to permit reasonable use of the land and buildings.

Sample Checklist to Guide Decisions on Use Variances

Use variances are provided for in the City-Village Zoning Act but as noted previously, such actions require a greater than majority vote of the zoning board of appeals. Because the variance in this circumstance allows a use in a location that the ordinance otherwise clearly prohibits, the actions of the zoning board of appeals must be cautiously and very carefully considered. Many commentators feel the improper application of the use variance

authority is a significant contributor to urban decay as it may run counter to many municipal service and planning programs. Thus, the zoning board of appeals should not grant use variances which more appropriately would be handled by a rezoning of the land by the city or village legislative body. A use variance should be granted by the zoning board of appeals only under those exceptional circumstances where the current zoning classification is clearly unreasonable and where the current zoning regulations provide the property owner with no reasonable use of his/her land, an unnecessary hardship must be shown.

Typically, the board of appeals will not vary the use requirements of the ordinance unless it makes findings based upon evidence presented to it in each specific case including that:

1. The property in question cannot be put to a reasonable use if permitted to be used only under the conditions allowed by the regulations in the district in which it is located.
2. The unnecessary hardship of the regulation on the property in question is due to very unique circumstances.
3. The variation, if granted, would not alter the essential character of the area or neighborhood.
4. The conditions upon which the petition for a variation is based would not be applicable to other property within the same zoning classification.
5. The purpose of the use variance request is not based merely upon a desire to make more money out of the property.
6. The alleged hardship has not been created by any person presently having an interest in the property.
7. The granting of a variance will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.
8. Any variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, or substantially increase the congestion in public streets, or increase the danger of fire or endanger the public safety or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.
9. The use variance is the minimum necessary to permit reasonable use of the land and building.

The burden of showing that either a dimensional or use variance is warranted falls on the applicant. If the board of appeals finds the ordinance requirements can be met or that the criteria for getting a variance have not been met, then a variance should not be granted.

When reviewing an appeal from an action of the zoning administrator, the board of appeals reviews the facts and ordinance requirements and comes to its own conclusion as to the correct action. Similarly, if the city or village zoning ordinance permits appeal to the board of appeals of actions on special land uses or PUD requests, the board of appeals is limited to reviewing the facts as presented to the reviewing body or official and then determining if all ordinance standards and requirements have been met. If the standards were properly applied, the previous decision would be upheld, otherwise it would be overturned. In so acting, the board of appeals has no more power than the body or official from whom the appeal is taken.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

One of the most important steps in amendment, special land use, PUD, and variance procedures is the public hearing. Public hearings provide the principal opportunity for citizens to be heard on zoning matters that may affect their interests. It is absolutely essential that required notices of public hearings be published/distributed as specified in the zoning ordinance and the City-Village Zoning Act. At public hearings, it is very important that every interested person be given the opportunity to be heard. But this does not mean the process is spontaneous, a hearing should be conducted in a consistent and orderly fashion. The hearing body should not have their decision governed by the public applause meter. The hearing body should not be interested simply in whether neighbors are in favor or opposed to a given proposal, but rather in why they feel that way; what relevant information do they offer within the context of ordinance requirements to assist in making a decision? The primary purpose of the hearing is, of course, to gather facts and information. Thus, a hearing process must be established to insure an open, objective atmosphere exists for orderly presentations. It need not be one of paralyzing formality, it is not a court proceeding.



"Presenting His Case"

The need for public confidence in the honesty and integrity of the hearing body, dictates that if any member of the body has even a remote interest in the outcome of a proceeding, he or she should disqualify himself from participation in the hearing. Obvious conflicts of interest would include a financial interest in the outcome or a close business or family relationship with the applicant, his/her attorney or any expert witness. The member with a conflict of interest should disqualify him/herself at the outset of the hearing or sooner if the conflict is identified. It is not sufficient to participate in the hearing and merely refrain from voting. The rule to follow if there is a doubt about a conflict of interest is: What in doubt--step down.

General Hearing Procedure

The following general hearing procedure is suggested as a guide to developing a specific hearing procedure in a city or village. Whatever procedure is developed, the procedure should be made clear to all in attendance and consistently adhered to. A simple handout sheet or large board visibly displaying the hearing procedure will serve this purpose well. Hearings should begin precisely at the time advertised in the notice although they may simply be one element of the agenda. Typically, the hearing procedure is as follows:

1. The chairperson announces the subject of the public hearing, as advertised.
2. The public hearing procedures are summarized for all present by the chairperson. A suggested opening statement might be:

This public hearing to receive public input on the following matter _____ in accordance with the official notice, is now open. The (name of hearing body) would like to make it clear that it is bound by rules and laws and that these are the determinates when weighing the case. In order to conduct the hearing within a reasonable time and to keep to the subject at hand, you are asked to observe the following rules:

- After the staff presentation, the applicant will state his case fully and furnish the (name of hearing body) with pertinent information concerning the property.
- Those who favor the proposed change will be heard first, and those opposed will be heard last.
- Each person making a statement will be asked to state their name and address.
- Please refrain from repeating what has been said before you, and please do not involve personalities.
- Be as factual as possible.
- The (name of hearing body) reserves the right to question any speaker.
- All statements or questions must be directed to the chairperson.

The (name of hearing body) will or will not make a decision on this matter at today's meeting.

3. The staff is then asked to present the substance of the application and any staff reports and to answer technical questions of the hearing body.
4. Individuals wishing to speak in support of the subject of the hearing are recognized by the chairperson beginning with the applicant(s) or their representative(s).



"Stating The Facts"

5. Individuals wishing to speak in opposition to the subject of the hearing are recognized by the chairperson. (If there are numerous people in the audience who would like to participate on the issue, and it is known that all represent the same opinion, it is advised that a spokesman be selected to speak for the entire group. A spokesman will thus have the opportunity of speaking for a reasonable length of time, and of presenting a complete case. If this arrangement cannot be made, it may be necessary for the chairperson to restrict each speaker to a limited time in order that all may be heard. The hearing body must permit comments from all interested or affected individuals and organizations, and it should be stressed that consideration will be given to all comments or suggestions made. Irrelevant and off-the-subject comments should be ruled out of order.)
6. The chairperson may, within reasonable limits, and upon request, allow cross-examination or rebuttal. All comments should be addressed to the subject of the hearing through the chairperson and not directed to any other individuals. The hearing body should refrain from debating or arguing with persons commenting. The function of the hearing is to gather facts--not to carry on an adversary relationship.
7. The chairperson should upon his/her motion or the motion of any member, announce the close of the public portion of the hearing or announce the continuation of the public hearing to another specified time and place if the hour is late or additional pertinent information must be obtained.
8. The commission then deliberates on the matter. All deliberations must be conducted in an open public meeting.

Hearing Records

The point that a hearing record is necessary is indisputable; the question which arises is how such a record should be made. Obviously, the most complete record can be obtained by using a court stenographer; however, this is very costly. Many communities have adopted the practice of tape recording each meeting. This low cost method is usually satisfactory when accompanied with a brief written summary of the public hearing comments. It is essential that speakers identify themselves in order to determine at a later time who said what. Of lesser value are the extensive notes taken by a secretary or clerk to the hearing body. Such notes should be made a formal part of the record, after their review and correction if necessary, by a vote of the hearing body.

A complete record of the hearing will typically contain the following:

1. The applicant's request on a properly completed form.
2. The records of any action of this request by an administrative official or body including all past records regarding the property such as an earlier request for variance, special land use approval, or a record of nonconforming status.
3. Records that verify due notice to the appropriate parties and to neighboring property owners has been given. Any newspaper notice and the affidavit of publishing thereof must also be retained.
4. Any relevant maps, drawings, or photographs presented as evidence, or as a part of the application and copies of any correspondence received or sent with regard to this request.
5. A complete record of all public input made at the hearing.
6. A record of what the hearing body saw on any visit it made to the property in question and a summary of any conversations between the hearing body and parties with an interest in the application.
7. A copy of a reference to relevant ordinance requirements.
8. The findings of fact, the conclusions reached and the recommendation or decision made on the request by the hearing body.
9. A copy of any other correspondence to or from the petitioner regarding the decision.

The crucial element of this record is, of course, the findings, conclusions and decision (or recommendation) of the hearing body. The decision must be in writing and include all conditions that may be associated with the decision. The decision or recommendation should not be a mere conclusion or a statement. Each decision should be accompanied by specific findings of fact. These findings should be related to the specific standards stated in the ordinance which the applicant must satisfy. It is not sufficient, for example, for a board of appeals to merely parrot the general statutory requirements that a "practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship exists"--this is not a finding, it is a conclusion. The facts which led the board to reach this conclusion consistent with ordinance and statutory standards must be identified to support the conclusions reached. These decisions run the chance of having the stand up in a regular court of law, where detailed findings of fact must be presented, and the conclusions of law based upon those facts must follow. It is important that careful consideration be given to all matters. Clear and uniform hearing procedures assist a hearing body in properly carrying out their responsibilities. On occasion, however, the assistance of the city or village attorney is needed. It should be sought when needed. Likewise, before adopting any rules of procedure or public hearing rules, the advice of the city or village attorney should be sought.

TEN COMMON ZONING PROBLEMS

Among typical kinds of problems growing out of lax zoning administration, improper granting of variance and inappropriate amendments are the following:

1. There are many spot zones, comprising only one or two lots, whose location is not in accordance with a land use plan and inconsistent with adjacent land uses.
2. The board of appeals grants too many variances without adequate scrutiny.
3. The zoning administrator has issued permits for uses that do not meet ordinance requirements, or has failed to make use of occupancy permits to regulate changes in use, or has failed to carry out an active program to detect and prosecute zoning violations.
4. The zoning administrator and board of appeals tend to rely on complaints or the consent of neighboring property owners as the principal basis for action.
5. A community haphazardly copies another community's ordinance.
6. A community prepares or adopts the ordinance or

amendments without obtaining or consulting the "public pulse" (the prevailing values and attitudes of the public).

7. The community fails to utilize available technical assistance in making rational decisions in the development of zoning regulations and districts.
8. The zoning administrator, planning/zoning commission, city or village council and board of appeals fail to make uniform and consistent decisions on similar matters.
9. The zoning map is made to look just like a 20 year master plan, rather than reflecting a land use pattern appropriate to just the next 3-5 years of expected development in a manner consistent with the plan.

These points were adapted from an article by Robert M. Leary, entitled, "Common Deficiencies", which was printed in *Principles and Practice of Urban Planning* by William I. Goodwin and Eric C. Freund, (Washington, D.C.: International City Managers' Association, 1968), pgs. 419-420.

BEFORE MAKING ZONING DECISIONS

Before any action is taken by an official or body responsible for carrying out a specific zoning function, the following guidelines should be kept clearly in mind:

1. Is the application or request before the right body or official at this time?
2. Are other alternatives available to the applicant that may more appropriately serve his/her needs as well as the public interest?
3. Have you checked relevant provisions of the ordinance, the statute and applicable city or village plans for their guidance on the matter before you?
4. If you have doubts on how to proceed, have you investigated them instead of "muddling" through in order just to clear the agenda?

DECISION MAKING REMINDERS

General reminders to aid decision making:

1. When in doubt--check it out! But,
2. Don't delay when the decision can be made.
3. Remember that permits, approvals and zoning districts run with the property and not the owner.
4. When all standards stated in the ordinance have been met, the permit must be issued.
5. Consistency is very important, but mistakes should not be perpetuated.
6. Permits are of little value if there is no monitoring to insure continued ordinance compliance.
7. At least one member of the body on which you serve should be an expert on the ordinance requirements and on past decisions made.
8. The body on which you serve should adopt and keep current rules of procedure to guide their actions.

9. A simple public hearing procedure should be adopted, clearly pointed out to citizens at each public hearing, and consistently adhered to.
10. Good records of all meetings of the body on which you serve should be maintained.
11. All decisions must be documented. The facts uncovered in the process of reviewing the application, making the analysis and those presented at the public hearing should be explicitly related to ordinance standards and documented along with the conclusions reached on the matter.
12. If a procedural requirement such as newspaper or individual notice to appropriate persons is missed, stop the process and begin again.
13. No decision should be made by vote of less than a majority of the total membership of the body, not just a majority of those present.
14. When technical assistance of the city or village planning, city or village attorney or other professionals is needed, get it.
15. Remember your job is to protect the public interest as embodied in the zoning ordinance. Required procedures and standards must be adhered to.

NOTES:

CHAPTER IV

THE END (OR IS IT THE BEGINNING?)

In Chapter I of this guide, zoning was described as a tool that can be very useful in shaping and forming a safe, healthy and efficient community in a manner consistent with a plan.

Chapters II and III identified "who does what" in city and village zoning, and generally how they carry out their responsibilities. This descriptive method is intended to illustrate that zoning while a technical and legal community power is really composed of a relative few sets of operating functions. Each zoning function or operation has its own statutory and ordinance procedures which are intended to insure that the rights of citizens are protected while important community interests are promoted. Most of the procedures have very similar mechanics, but the details and differences are very important. Equally important is the basic issue of which body or official is responsible for acting on certain zoning functions and what standards or guides do, or should, they use in making decisions. Thus, Chapters II and III attempted to describe basic zoning functions and procedures in order to help you develop your skills in understanding the use of the zoning tool. The more familiar you are with the zoning tool, the better zoning craftsman you'll become.

While a zoning ordinance clearly identifies which uses of land are permitted in which zoning districts, in so doing it should provide for the whole host of land uses reasonably expected and needed within the city or village in the next few years. Attempts to exclude needed land uses from the community may have significant legal consequences and may unnecessarily place a costly burden on a community which could be avoided by foresightful action prior to the controversy.

Zoning can have an influence on community form beyond the obvious locational/use relationships. As a technique to help a community manage its growth and development, the use of flexible zoning techniques such as PUD and special land uses can provide opportunities for more detailed and sensitive public review of private development plans while encouraging creative development consistent with environment and economic constraints.

These new techniques along with the traditional zoning functions of amendment, appeals and variances together provide a tool, which when properly used, can achieve many public objectives while enhancing the use and enjoyment of private property. As this guide has tried to illustrate, the process for reviewing and approving changes in the use of land is every bit as important as the actual change itself.

Zoning is a job worth doing, as the benefits can be great, and thus, is worth doing well. Consequently, not only is it important for all involved in zoning decisions to be knowledgeable of their responsibilities and zoning procedures; but definite efforts to keep the zoning ordinance and community plans current, is also very important. Efforts should also be made to orient each new zoning official and city or village council member as to how zoning works and what their role in zoning procedures is. When technical planning and or legal assistance is necessary, it should be sought. There is no reason to perpetuate zoning mistakes from the past, instead proper planning and zoning can be used to help build a future that city or village residents can look forward to.

THE NEED FOR PERIODIC ASSESSMENT


A land use plan and zoning ordinance begin to grow old and dated from the time of adoption. In rapid growth communities, they often become outdated sooner than expected.

How does a community keep the land use plan and zoning ordinance from becoming obsolete? The best way is through periodic assessment every three to five years by the planning commission. At that time, the following questions would be asked:

1. To what extent is the land use plan being actively implemented. . .
 - through zoning?
 - through public improvements?
 - through other efforts?
2. Is implementation taking place as scheduled? If not, why not?
3. Does the settlement pattern of recent development actively coincide with the land use plan map and the recommended land use intensities? If not, why not? What should be done—change map or increase enforcement of the law?
4. Do the goals and policies still reflect the community's major concerns? If not, what should be changed? Is change realistic?
5. Are items identified in the land use plan as desirable, adequately being protected by zoning?
6. Are unexpected land use conflicts being created by the zoning ordinance which are not addressed by the land use policies?

Based on the answers to the above questions, the planning commission must decide if there is a need to revise the land use plan. If the plan needs to be revised, then after the revision is made, the zoning ordinance and/or map should also be reviewed and amended as needed. However, many land use plans and zoning ordinances are updated and amended on a "stop-gap" basis when faced with what appears to be a "crisis". Periodic reassessment will reduce the need to react in this manner and provide a mechanism whereby a community can maintain control of its future.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY



COOPERATIVE
EXTENSION
SERVICE

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution. Cooperative Extension Service programs are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or handicap.

Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, acts of May 8, and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Gordon E. Guyer, Director, Cooperative Extension Service, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, MI 48824.

This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by the Cooperative Extension Service or bias against those not mentioned. This bulletin becomes public property upon publication and may be reprinted verbatim as a separate or within another publication with credit to MSU. Reprinting cannot be used to endorse or advertise a commercial product or company.

O-14398

1P-1M-7-83-KMF-UP. Price \$1.40, For Sale Only